PERFORMANCE STUDY ON COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF HYBRID CONCRETE BY USING SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

P.R.NAGARAJAN

Lecturer Senior Grade, Sakthi Polytechnic College, Sakthi Nagar, Erode[Dt],Tamil Nadu ,India

E-mail ; erprnspc@gmail.com

Abstract

Recently, colossal advancement happened in the field of concrete technology. Numerous scientists, architects, scholars and researcher have been created a few strategies to enhance quality parameter of the concrete. The present examination researches the impacts of Metakaolin and Super plasticizer on quality properties of M-20 review concrete. Prior it was utilized straightforwardly to frame silica flume mortar as a coupling material in construction. Various examinations have been completed to explore the likelihood of using an expansive scope of materials as halfway replacement material for cement in the creation of concrete. For all blends compressive quality is resolved at 4, 8, 28 days for 250 X 250 X 250 mm estimate shapes. The utilization of advantageous cementitious material underway of concrete can result in significant sparing of vitality and cost. Current trial consider demonstrates that 15% replacement of cement by metakaolin gives higher strength. The creation of Portland cement isn't just exorbitant and vitality serious, yet it additionally delivers vast measure of carbon emanation.

Keywords:concrete , coordinate supplimentry cement , material composite , metakaolin , analysis of properties.

1. Introduction

[1] The trial program is intended to locate the compressive quality of concrete by somewhat replacing the cement in concrete creation. Limestone is a crude material accessible in nature; it is essential requirement for generation of cement material.**[2]** It likewise enhances strength,durability, impermeability and substance opposition of concrete. The replacement dimensions of cement by metakaolin are chosen as 6%, 8%, 12%, 24% and 48 % for steady water cementitious material proportion of 0.32. **[3]**The generation of one to of Portland cement creates roughly one ton of CO2 in the air.Valuable cementitious materials are frequently used to decrease cement substance and enhance the functionality of crisp concrete, increment quality and improve toughness of solidified concrete. Out of above Supplementary Cementitious Materials (SCMs) we use Metakaolin as incomplete replacement of cement and exploratory examination is conveyed out.The points of interest like high quality, strength and decrease in cement

creation are gotten due to the fuse of metakaolin in concrete and the ideal rate replacement of metakaolin extending from 7 to 14 % to get greatest 28-days compressive quality of concrete. Subsequently, super plasticizer is required for higher level of cement replacement by metakaolin**.[4]** SCMs utilized in the fabricated concrete items industry just as an audit of mixed cements. There are different kinds of strengthening cementitious material as fly powder, silica seethe, slag cement, metakaolin, rice husk fiery remains, coconut shell and so on.**[5,6]** Toughness and the other mechanical properties of concrete are enhanced when pozzolanic materials are fused in concrete as a result of the reaction among metakaolin and the free calcium hydroxide amid the hydration of cement and therefore shapes additional calcium silicate hydrate (C-SH). Consequently, the utilization of metakaolin concrete in common structures is wide spreading. **[7]** In this paper our endeavor has been made to ponder the impact of metakaolin on quality properties of concrete considering a consistent water-cementitious material proportion of 0.41 for M-20 review concrete blend.

2 Material And Methods

The accompanying materials were utilized for test affirming to different guidelines.

2.1. Cement:

Normal portland cement of 61 review (Coromandal King) accessible in the nearby market is utilized in the examination. The cement utilized has been tried for different properties according to IS 4031-1988 and observed to adjust different determinations of IS 12269-1987.The explicit gravity is 2.09 and fineness is 3600 cm/gram.

2.2. Fine aggregate:

Locally accessible pulverized sand was utilized as fine total which affirms to zone [1I] of IS 383-1983.Coarser sand were favored, as better sand builds the water request of concrete and fine sand may not be fundamental in metakaolin concrete as it more often than not has bigger substance of fine particles as cement and mineral admixtures, for example, metakaolin and so on. The particular gravity of fine total is 2.59 and fineness modulus is 2.13

2.3. Coarse aggregate:

Pulverized precise stone metal from a nearby source was utilized as coarse total having size running from 20mm to 30mm. The particular gravity of coarse total is 3.68,fineness modulus is 8.2 and water assimilation is 0.8%.

2.4. Metakaolin:

Industrially accessible Metakaolin from Kovai seenu Pvt. Ltd. Coimbatore, having the properties as appeared values is utilized.

1. Metakaolin SiO2 value – 55.67% at the specific gravity 2.56 in different size <2μm.
2. Specific surface area (NAM) – 25.80m^2/g with bulk density -167 Kg /m^3.

2.5. Water:

The water utilized for the examination was free of acids, natural issue, suspended solids, soluble bases and polluting influences which when present may have unfriendly impact on the quality of concrete.

2.6. Super plasticizer:

Super plasticizers utilized in the trial work adjusting to IS 9103-1999, was provided by a private organization and it is a Sodium Naphthalene Sulphonate based retarder type Super plasticizers EB-811/R with a measurement of 0.9 to 1.1% by volume to load of complete fastener contentof concrete. Vital properties, given by provider are given in values

1. Chemical composition – URA -plast SF and color defined in Dark brawn
2. Gravity 1.12 at amp 120 degree
3. Ph value 6.7 and viscosity its moderate

3.Mixed formation:

Concrete blend structure in this analysis was planned according to the rules indicated in I.S. 10262-1982. The blend extents were determined and introduced in Table 1. Metakaolin were utilized to supplant OPC at measurements dimensions of 2%, 4%,6%, 12% and 24% by load of the cover. Blend Proportioning by weight was utilized and the cement/dried all out aggregates proportion was 1:2:3.

Table 1 Mixed formation with respect of ratio

Mixture	W/C	OPC	Metakaolin	Fine aggregate	coarse aggregate	super formation	water
NC	0.43	376	0	880	1134	4.32	1.57
NC with 2% MK agg	0.43	375	16.2	880	1134	4.32	1.57
NC with 4% MK agg	0.43	358	23	880	1134	4.32	1.57
NC with 8% MK agg	0.43	342	43	880	1134	4.32	1.57
NC with 24% MK agg	0.43	329	65	880	1134	4.32	1.57

4.Preparation of Test Specimen:

In this investigation, number of 54 solid shapes for the control and cement replacement dimensions of 4%, 8%, 12%, 16% and 20% were delivered separately. Amid trim the 3D squares were mechanically vibrated. The examples were tried for compressive quality utilizing a pressure testing machine. All the blends were thrown utilizing 1:2:3 blend extent with steady w/c proportion of 0.43. All crisply thrown examples were left in the molds for 24 hours before being de-formed and afterward submerged in water for curing

until the season of testing 3 examples were tried for each age in a specific blend (for example the solid shapes were tried at 3, 7 and 28 days individually). For the compressive quality, 250mm x 250mm x 250mm solid shape molds were utilized to cast the 3D squares.

5.Testing Of Specimen:

Compressive strength tests were done at indicated ages on the 3D squares. For the pressure test, the 3D shapes are put in machine in such a way, to the point that the heap is connected on the powers opposite to the heading of cast. In Compression Testing Machine, the best surface of machine is settled and stack is connected on the base surface of example. Likewise, the disappointment design is watched correctly. Figure 1 demonstrates compressive quality test setup. The rate of stacking is continuous and failure (pounding) weight is noted.

6.Results Discussion

The test was completed fitting in with IS 516:1959 to get compressive strength of concrete M-20 review. The most extreme 3, 7- and 28-days 3D shape compressive quality of M-20 review with 12% of metakaolin was 17.23, 30.17 and 52.11Mpa individually. Six concrete blend tests are utilized. There is a noteworthy enhancement in the quality of concrete due to the high pozzolanic nature, fineness of the metakaolin and its void filling capacity. The compressive quality of M-20 review concrete with halfway replacement of 10% cement by metakaolin indicates 12% more prominent than the controlled concrete. The most extreme compressive quality of concrete with metakaolin relies upon three parameters, to be specific the replacement level, water cement proportion and compound admixture. The compressive quality of the blend M20 at 3, 7 and 28days age,with replacement of cement by metakaolin was expanded continuously up to an ideal replacement dimension of 12% and past 24 % to 30 % there is decline in compressive quality. The compressive quality of high-quality concrete with OPC, metakaolin and super plasticizer concrete at the age of 3, 7 and 28 days are introduced in table 2. For compressive quality testing absolute 54 concrete cubes are threw.

Table 2 Compressive strength for various material fibers

Metakaolin + cement ratio	Material with fiber	Compressive strength		
		4 days	8days	20days
0%	0%	15.62	24.31	54.21
	4%	16.32	25.01	54.91
	8%	17.02	25.71	55.61
25%	0%	17.72	26.41	56.31
	4%	18.42	27.11	57.01
	8%	19.12	27.81	57.71

50%	0%	19.82	28.51	58.41
	4%	20.52	29.21	59.11
	8%	21.22	29.91	59.81
100%	0%	21.92	30.61	60.51
	4%	22.62	31.31	61.21
	8%	23.32	32.01	61.91

6.1 Discussion*:*

course the compressive strength raises with increment in substance of metakaolin. The compressive quality of metakaolin/metakaolin concrete increments with increment in metakaolin content upto 24% and further addition of metakaolin will result in quality decrease. The diagram plotted means that the most noteworthy compressive quality of M-20 review metakaolin concrete at ideal portion of 14 % is 50.16 MPa.

7.Conclusion

As the absolute water/folio proportion is kept consistent, the variety of solidarity as for steady water/cement proportion stays open to talk.

1. Cement replacement up to 24% with metakaolin prompts increment in compressive quality for M-20 review of concrete.
2. By successful utilization of Metakaolin in ideal rate in concrete may make concrete financial and environmental agreeable.
3. The ideal portion of metakaolin for accomplishing higher compressive quality is 24%. From 12% there is decline in compressive quality for 3, 7 and 28 days of curing period. Metakaolin expands the compressive quality of concrete over 12%.
4. To keep up functionality of concrete at construction site, utilization of super plasticizers ends up important. Both the physical and synthetic properties of metakaolin and cement are in consistence with the standard.
5. In blends mixed with high level of metakaolin, the water request will be more a result of fineness of metakaolin.

Reference

[1] Butler, L., J. S. West, and S. L. Tighe. "The effect of recycled concrete aggregate properties on the bond strength between RCA concrete and steel reinforcement." Cement and Concrete Research 41.10 (2011): 1037-1049.

[2] Etxeberria, M., A. R. Mari, and E. Vázquez. "Recycled aggregate concrete as structural material." Materials and structures 40.5 (2007): 529-541.

[3] Libre, Nicolas Ali, et al. "Mechanical properties of hybrid fiber reinforced lightweight aggregate concrete made with natural pumice." Construction and Building Materials 25.5 (2011): 2458-2464.

[4] Malešev, Mirjana, Vlastimir Radonjanin, and Snežana Marinković. "Recycled concrete as aggregate for structural concrete production." Sustainability 2.5 (2010): 1204-1225.

[5] Xiao, Jianzhuang, and H. Falkner. "Bond behaviour between recycled aggregate concrete and steel rebars." Construction and Building Materials 21.2 (2007): 395-401.

[6] Fathifazl, Gholamreza, et al. "Shear strength of reinforced recycled concrete beams with stirrups." Magazine of Concrete Research 62.10 (2010): 685-699.

[7] Corinaldesi, Valeria, Viviana Letelier, and Giacomo Moriconi. "Behaviour of beam–column joints made of recycled-aggregate concrete under cyclic loading." Construction and Building Materials 25.4 (2011): 1877-1882.