

g*- CLOSED SETS in TOPOLOGICAL ORDERED SPACES**¹V. Amarendra babu and ²J. Aswini**V. Amarendra Babu, Department of Mathematics, Acharya Nagarjuna University, A.P, India .¹
J. Aswini, Department of Mathematics, Acharya Nagarjuna University, A.P, India .²

Abstract : In this paper, we introduced a new class of sets called g*-closed sets in topological ordered spaces, also we discuss some of their properties and investigate the relationship among this separation properties along with some counter examples.

Keywords : w-g*-c₀ space, w-c₀ space and Topological ordered spaces.

I. INTRODUCTION

A topological ordered spaces [17] is a triple (X, τ, \leq) , where τ is a topology on X And \leq is a partial order on X . let (X, τ) be a topological space and A be a subset of X . the interior of A (denoted by $\text{int}(A)$) is the union of all open Subsets of A and closure of A (denoted by $\text{cl}(A)$) is the intersection of all Closed super sets of A . $C(A)$ denotes the complement of A . Let $((X, \tau, \leq)$ be Topological ordered space .for any $x \in X$, $[x, \rightarrow] = \{y \in X / x \leq y\}$ and $[\leftarrow, x] = \{y \in X / y \leq x\}$ [13]. A subset A of a topological ordered space (X, τ, \leq) is said to be increasing [13] if $A = i(A)$ and decreasing[18] if $A = d(A)$, where $i(A) = \cup_{a \in A} [a, \rightarrow]$ and $d(A) = \cup_{a \in A} [\leftarrow, a]$. A subset of a topological ordered space (X, τ, \leq) is said to be balanced [13] if it is both increasing and decreasing.

II. PRELIMINARIES

DEFINITION 2.1: A subset A of a Topological space (x, τ) is called a

1. Weakly - C_0 [10] if $\bigcap_{x \in X} \ker(X) = \Phi$, Where $\ker(X) = \bigcap \{G / x \in G \in \tau\}$.
2. g*-closed set [16] if $\text{cl}(A) \subseteq U$ when $A \subseteq U$ and U is g-open [12] in (x, τ) .

DEFINITION 2.2: Let A be a subset of a topological space (x, τ) is called

1. Generalized closed set (g-closed) [12] if $\text{cl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is open in (X, τ) .
2. A generalized semi-closed set (gs-closed) [4] if $\text{scl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is open in (X, τ) .
3. A regular generalized closed set (rg-closed) [15] if $\text{cl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is regular open in (X, τ) .
4. A generalized pre regular closed set (gpr-closed) [11] if $\text{pcl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is regular open in (X, τ) .
5. An α -generalized closed set (α g-closed) [6] if $\alpha \text{cl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is open in (X, τ) .
6. A generalized semi-pre closed set (gsp-closed) [9] if $\text{spcl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is open in (X, τ) .
7. An α^{**} -generalized closed set (α^{**} g-closed) [19] if $\alpha \text{cl}(A) \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(U))$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is open in (X, τ) .
8. α -open set [14] if $A \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(A)))$, α -closed set if $\text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(A))) \subseteq A$.

DEFINITION 2.3: A subset A of a Topological ordered space (x, τ, \leq) is called a

1. g*i (resp. g*d, g*b)-closed set [16] if it is both g*-closed and i (resp. decreasing, balanced) - Closed sets.
2. gi (resp. gd, gb)-closed set [16] if it is both g-closed and i (resp. decreasing, balanced)-closed sets.
3. gsi (resp. gsd, gsb)-closed set [10] if it is both gs-closed and i (resp. decreasing, balanced)-closed sets.

4. rgi (resp. rgd, rgb)-closed set [1] if it is both rg -closed and i (resp. decreasing, balanced)-closed sets.
5. $gpri$ (resp. $gprd, gprb$)-closed set [2] if it is both gpr -closed and i (resp. decreasing, balanced) - closed sets.
6. αgi (resp. $\alpha gd, \alpha gb$)-closed set [8] if it is both αg - closed and i (resp. decreasing, balanced) -closed sets.
7. $gspi$ (resp. $gspd, gspb$)-closed set [3] if it is both gsp - closed and i (resp. decreasing, balanced) -closed sets.
8. $\alpha^{**}gi$ (resp. $\alpha^{**}gd, \alpha^{**}gb$)-closed set [19] if it is both $\alpha^{**}g$ - closed and i (resp. decreasing, balanced)-closed sets.
9. Weakly $i-C_0$ (resp. $d-C_0, b-C_0$) [18] if $\bigcap_{x \in X} i \text{ Ker}\{x\} = \Phi$, (resp. $\bigcap_{x \in X} d\text{Ker}\{x\} = \Phi, \bigcap_{x \in X} b\text{Ker}\{x\} = \Phi$) where $i \text{ Ker}(x) = \bigcap \{G/x \in G \in I(O(x))\}$ (resp; $d\text{Ker}(x) = \bigcap \{G/x \in G \in D(O(x))\}$, $b\text{Ker}(x) = \bigcap \{G/x \in G \in B(O(x))\}$).

WEAKLY g^*-i-C_0 SPACES

Definition 3.1: A Topological space (X, τ) is called a

1. Weakly g^*-i-C_0 space (resp. g^*-d-C_0, g^*-b-C_0) if $\bigcap_{x \in X} g^*i \text{ Ker}\{x\} = \Phi$, (resp. $\bigcap_{x \in X} g^*d\text{Ker}\{x\} = \Phi, \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*b\text{Ker}\{x\} = \Phi$) where $g^*i\text{Ker}(x) = \bigcap \{G/x \in G \in g^*I(O(x))\}$ (resp. $g^*d\text{Ker}(x) = \bigcap \{G/x \in G \in g^*D(O(x))\}$, $g^*b\text{Ker}(x) = \bigcap \{G/x \in G \in g^*B(O(x))\}$).
2. Weakly $g-i-C_0$ space (resp. $g-d-C_0, g-b-C_0$) if $\bigcap_{x \in X} gi \text{ Ker}\{x\} = \Phi$, (resp. $\bigcap_{x \in X} gd\text{Ker}\{x\} = \Phi, \bigcap_{x \in X} gb\text{Ker}\{x\} = \Phi$) where $gi \text{ Ker}(x) = \bigcap \{G/x \in G \in gI(O(x))\}$ (resp. $gd\text{Ker}(x) = \bigcap \{G/x \in G \in gD(O(x))\}$, $gb\text{Ker}(x) = \bigcap \{G/x \in G \in gB(O(x))\}$).
3. Weakly $gs-i-C_0$ space (resp. $gs-d-C_0, gs-b-C_0$) if $\bigcap_{x \in X} gsi \text{ Ker}\{x\} = \Phi$, (resp. $\bigcap_{x \in X} gsd\text{Ker}\{x\} = \Phi, \bigcap_{x \in X} gsb\text{Ker}\{x\} = \Phi$) where $gsi \text{ Ker}(x) = \bigcap \{G/x \in G \in gsI(O(x))\}$ (resp. $gsd\text{Ker}(x) = \bigcap \{G/x \in G \in gsD(O(x))\}$, $gsb\text{Ker}(x) = \bigcap \{G/x \in G \in gsB(O(x))\}$).
4. Weakly $rg-i-C_0$ space (resp. $rg-d-C_0, rg-b-C_0$) if $\bigcap_{x \in X} rgi \text{ Ker}\{x\} = \Phi$, (resp. $\bigcap_{x \in X} rgd\text{Ker}\{x\} = \Phi, \bigcap_{x \in X} rgb\text{Ker}\{x\} = \Phi$) where $rgi \text{ Ker}(x) = \bigcap \{G/x \in G \in rgI(O(x))\}$ (resp. $rgd\text{Ker}(x) = \bigcap \{G/x \in G \in rgD(O(x))\}$, $rgb\text{Ker}(x) = \bigcap \{G/x \in G \in rgB(O(x))\}$).
5. Weakly $gpr-i-C_0$ space (resp. $gpr-d-C_0, gpr-b-C_0$) if $\bigcap_{x \in X} gpri \text{ Ker}\{x\} = \Phi$, (resp. $\bigcap_{x \in X} gprd\text{Ker}\{x\} = \Phi, \bigcap_{x \in X} gprb\text{Ker}\{x\} = \Phi$) where $gpri \text{ Ker}(x) = \bigcap \{G/x \in G \in gprI(O(x))\}$ (resp. $gprd\text{Ker}(x) = \bigcap \{G/x \in G \in gprD(O(x))\}$, $gprb\text{Ker}(x) = \bigcap \{G/x \in G \in gprB(O(x))\}$).
6. Weakly $\alpha g-i-C_0$ space (resp. $\alpha g-d-C_0, \alpha g-b-C_0$) if $\bigcap_{x \in X} \alpha gi \text{ Ker}\{x\} = \Phi$, (resp. $\bigcap_{x \in X} \alpha gd\text{Ker}\{x\} = \Phi, \bigcap_{x \in X} \alpha gb\text{Ker}\{x\} = \Phi$) where $\alpha gi \text{ Ker}(x) = \bigcap \{G/x \in G \in \alpha gI(O(x))\}$ (resp. $\alpha gd\text{Ker}(x) = \bigcap \{G/x \in G \in \alpha gD(O(x))\}$, $\alpha gb\text{Ker}(x) = \bigcap \{G/x \in G \in \alpha gB(O(x))\}$).
7. Weakly $gsp-i-C_0$ space (resp. $gsp-d-C_0, gsp-b-C_0$) if $\bigcap_{x \in X} gspi \text{ Ker}\{x\} = \Phi$, (resp. $\bigcap_{x \in X} gspd\text{Ker}\{x\} = \Phi, \bigcap_{x \in X} gspb\text{Ker}\{x\} = \Phi$) where $gspi \text{ Ker}(x) = \bigcap \{G/x \in G \in gspI(O(x))\}$ (resp. $gspd\text{Ker}(x) = \bigcap \{G/x \in G \in gspD(O(x))\}$, $gspb\text{Ker}(x) = \bigcap \{G/x \in G \in gspB(O(x))\}$).
8. Weakly $\alpha^{**}g-i-C_0$ space (resp. $\alpha^{**}g-d-C_0, \alpha^{**}g-b-C_0$) if $\bigcap_{x \in X} \alpha^{**}gi \text{ Ker}\{x\} = \Phi$, (resp. $\bigcap_{x \in X} \alpha^{**}gd\text{Ker}\{x\} = \Phi, \bigcap_{x \in X} \alpha^{**}gb\text{Ker}\{x\} = \Phi$) where $\alpha^{**}gi \text{ Ker}(x) = \bigcap \{G/x \in G \in \alpha^{**}gI(O(x))\}$ (resp. $\alpha^{**}gd\text{Ker}(x) = \bigcap \{G/x \in G \in \alpha^{**}gD(O(x))\}$, $\alpha^{**}gb\text{Ker}(x) = \bigcap \{G/x \in G \in \alpha^{**}gB(O(x))\}$).

Theorem 3.2: Every weakly g^*-i-C_0 space is a weakly $g-i-C_0$ space.

Proof: Let (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly g^*-i-C_0 space.

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*i \text{ Ker}\{x\} = \Phi.$$

Every g^*i - open set is gi - open set in (X, τ, \leq) .

$$\text{Then } g^*i \text{ Ker}\{x\} \subseteq gi \text{ Ker}\{x\}; \forall x \in X.$$

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X} gi \text{ Ker}\{x\} \subseteq \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*i \text{ Ker}\{x\}$$

Since $\bigcap_{x \in X} g^*i \text{ Ker}\{x\} = \Phi$, we have $\bigcap_{x \in X} gi \text{ Ker}\{x\} = \Phi$

Therefore, (X, τ, \leq) is weakly $g-i-C_0$ space.

Hence every weakly $g^*i - C_0$ space is weakly $g-i-C_0$ Space.

The converse of the above theorem is not true as it can be seen by the following example.

Example 3.3: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ $\tau = \{\Phi, X, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\} \leq = \{(a,a), (b,b), (c,c), (a,b), (c,b)\}$.

Then (X, τ, \leq) is a Topological ordered space.

Now g_i - open sets are - $\Phi, X, \{a, c\}, \{c\}, \{a\}$.

g^*i -open sets are - $\Phi, X, \{a\}$.

$$g_i \text{ ker } \{a\} = \{a\} \qquad g^*i \text{ ker } \{a\} = \{a\}$$

$$g_i \text{ ker } \{b\} = X \qquad g^*i \text{ ker } \{b\} = X$$

$$g_i \text{ ker } \{c\} = \{c\} \qquad g^*i \text{ ker } \{c\} = X$$

$$\bigcap_{x \in X} g_i \text{ ker } \{x\} = \Phi \qquad \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*i \text{ ker } \{x\} \neq \Phi$$

Thus (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly $g-i-C_0$ space but not weakly g^*i-C_0 space.

Theorem 3.4: Every weakly $g^*i - C_0$ space is a weakly $gs-i - C_0$ space.

Proof: Let (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly $g^*i - C_0$ space.

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*i \text{ Ker } \{x\} = \Phi.$$

Every g^*i – open set is gsi – open set in (X, τ, \leq) .

Then $g^*i \text{ Ker } \{x\} \subseteq gsi \text{ Ker } \{x\}; \forall x \in X$.

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X} gsi \text{ Ker } \{x\} \subseteq \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*i \text{ Ker } \{x\}$$

Since $\bigcap_{x \in X} g^*i \text{ Ker } \{x\} = \Phi$, we have $\bigcap_{x \in X} gsi \text{ Ker } \{x\} = \Phi$

Therefore, (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly $gs-i - C_0$ space.

Hence every weakly $g^*i - C_0$ space is weakly $gs-i - C_0$ space.

The converse of the above theorem is not true as it can be seen by the following example.

Example 3.5: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ $\tau = \{\Phi, X, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\} \leq = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (c, b)\}$.

Then (X, τ, \leq) is a Topological ordered space.

Now gsi - open sets are - $\Phi, X, \{a, c\}, \{c\}, \{a\}$.

g^*i - open sets are - $\Phi, X, \{a\}$.

$$gsi \text{ ker } \{a\} = \{a\} \qquad g^*i \text{ ker } \{a\} = \{a\}$$

$$gsi \text{ ker } \{b\} = X \qquad g^*i \text{ ker } \{b\} = X$$

$$gsi \text{ ker } \{c\} = \{c\} \qquad g^*i \text{ ker } \{c\} = X$$

$$\bigcap_{x \in X} gsi \text{ ker } \{x\} = \Phi \qquad \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*i \text{ ker } \{x\} \neq \Phi$$

Thus (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly $gs-i-C_0$ space but not weakly g^*i-C_0 space.

Theorem 3.6: Every weakly $g^*i - C_0$ space is a weakly $rg-i - C_0$ space.

Proof: Let (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly $g^*i - C_0$ space.

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*i \text{ Ker } \{x\} = \Phi.$$

Every g^*i – open set is rgi – open set in (X, τ, \leq) .

Then $g^*i \text{ Ker } \{x\} \subseteq rgi \text{ Ker } \{x\}; \forall x \in X$.

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X} rgi \text{ Ker } \{x\} \subseteq \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*i \text{ Ker } \{x\}$$

Since $\bigcap_{x \in X} g^*i \text{ Ker } \{x\} = \Phi$, we have $\bigcap_{x \in X} rgi \text{ Ker } \{x\} = \Phi$

Therefore, (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly $rg-i - C_0$ space.

Hence every weakly $g^*i - C_0$ space is weakly $rg-i - C_0$ space.

The converse of the above theorem is not true as it can be seen by the following example.

Example 3.7: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ $\tau = \{\Phi, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a,b\}\} \leq = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (c, b)\}$.

Then (X, τ, \leq) is a Topological ordered space.

Now rgi - open sets are - $\Phi, X, \{c\}, \{a\}$.

g^*i - open sets are - $\Phi, X, \{a\}$.

$$rgi \text{ ker } \{a\} = \{a\} \qquad g^*i \text{ ker } \{a\} = \{a\}$$

$$rgi \text{ ker } \{b\} = X \qquad g^*i \text{ ker } \{b\} = X$$

$$rgi \text{ ker } \{c\} = \{c\} \qquad g^*i \text{ ker } \{c\} = X$$

$$\bigcap_{x \in X} rgi \text{ ker } \{X\} = \Phi \qquad \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*i \text{ ker } \{X\} \neq \Phi$$

Thus (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly rgi - C_0 space but not weakly g^*i - C_0 space.

Theorem 3.8: Every weakly g^*i - C_0 space is a weakly gpr - i - C_0 space.

Proof: Let (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly g^*i - C_0 space.

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*i \text{ Ker } \{x\} = \Phi.$$

Every g^*i – open set is gpr i – open set in (X, τ, \leq) .

$$\text{Then } g^*i \text{ Ker } \{x\} \subseteq gpr \text{ Ker } \{x\}; \forall x \in X.$$

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X} gpr \text{ Ker } \{x\} \subseteq \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*i \text{ Ker } \{x\}$$

$$\text{Since } \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*i \text{ Ker } \{x\} = \Phi, \text{ we have } \bigcap_{x \in X} gpr \text{ Ker } \{x\} = \Phi$$

Therefore, (X, τ, \leq) is weakly gpr - i - C_0 space.

Hence every weakly g^*i - C_0 space is a weakly gpr - i - C_0 space.

The converse of the above theorem is not true as it can be seen by the following example.

Example 3.9: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ $\tau = \{\Phi, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a,b\}\} \leq = \{(a,a), (b,b), (c,c), (a,b), (c,b)\}$.

Then (X, τ, \leq) is a Topological ordered space.

Now gpr i- open sets are - $\Phi, X, \{c\}, \{a\}$.

g^*i - open sets are - $\Phi, X, \{a\}$.

$$gpr \text{ ker } \{a\} = \{a\} \qquad g^*i \text{ ker } \{a\} = \{a\}$$

$$gpr \text{ ker } \{b\} = X \qquad g^*i \text{ ker } \{b\} = X$$

$$gpr \text{ ker } \{c\} = \{c\} \qquad g^*i \text{ ker } \{c\} = X$$

$$\bigcap_{x \in X} gpr \text{ ker } \{x\} = \Phi \qquad \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*i \text{ ker } \{x\} \neq \Phi$$

Thus (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly gpr - i - C_0 space but not weakly g^*i - C_0 space.

Theorem 3.10: Every weakly g^*i - C_0 space is a weakly αg - i - C_0 space.

Proof: Let (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly g^*i - C_0 space.

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*i \text{ Ker } \{x\} = \Phi.$$

Every g^*i – open set is αg i – open set in (X, τ, \leq) .

$$\text{Then } g^*i \text{ Ker } \{x\} \subseteq \alpha g \text{ Ker } \{x\}; \forall x \in X.$$

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X} \alpha g \text{ Ker } \{x\} \subseteq \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*i \text{ Ker } \{x\}$$

$$\text{Since } \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*i \text{ Ker } \{x\} = \Phi, \text{ we have } \bigcap_{x \in X} \alpha g \text{ Ker } \{x\} = \Phi$$

Therefore, (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly αg - i - C_0 space.

Hence every weakly g^*i - C_0 space is a weakly αg - i - C_0 space.

The converse of the above theorem is not true as it can be seen by the following example.

Example 3.11: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ $\tau = \{\Phi, X, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\} \leq = \{(a,a), (b,b), (c,c), (a,b), (c,b)\}$

Then (X, τ, \leq) is a Topological ordered space.

Now α gi- open sets are - $\Phi, X, \{a, c\}, \{c\}, \{a\}$.

g^*i - open sets are - $\Phi, X, \{a\}$

$$\alpha gi \text{ ker } \{a\} = \{a\} \quad g^*i \text{ ker } \{a\} = \{a\}$$

$$\alpha gi \text{ ker } \{b\} = X \quad g^*i \text{ ker } \{b\} = X$$

$$\alpha gi \text{ ker } \{c\} = \{c\} \quad g^*i \text{ ker } \{c\} = X$$

$$\bigcap_{x \in X} \alpha gi \text{ ker } \{x\} = \Phi \quad \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*i \text{ ker } \{x\} \neq \Phi$$

Thus (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly α g-i- C_0 space but not weakly g^*i - C_0 space.

Theorem 3.12: Every weakly g^*i - C_0 space is a weakly gsp -i - C_0 space.

Proof: Let (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly g^*i - C_0 space.

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*i \text{ Ker } \{x\} = \Phi.$$

Every g^*i – open set is $gspi$ – open set in (X, τ, \leq) .

Then $g^*i \text{ Ker } \{x\} \subseteq gspi \text{ Ker } \{x\}; \forall x \in X$.

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X} gspi \text{ Ker } \{x\} \subseteq \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*i \text{ Ker } \{x\}$$

Since $\bigcap_{x \in X} g^*i \text{ Ker } \{x\} = \Phi$, we have $\bigcap_{x \in X} gspi \text{ Ker } \{x\} = \Phi$

Therefore, (X, τ, \leq) is weakly gsp -i - C_0 space.

Hence every weakly g^*i - C_0 space is a weakly gsp -i- C_0 space.

The converse of the above theorem is not true as it can be seen by the following example.

Example 3.13: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ $\tau = \{\Phi, X, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\}$ $\leq = \{(a,a), (b,b), (c,c), (a,c), (b,c)\}$.

Then (X, τ, \leq) is a Topological ordered space.

Now $gspi$ - open sets are - $\Phi, X, \{a, b\}, \{b\}, \{a\}$.

g^*i - open sets are - $\Phi, X, \{a\}$.

$$gspi \text{ ker } \{a\} = \{a\} \quad g^*i \text{ ker } \{a\} = \{a\}$$

$$gspi \text{ ker } \{b\} = \{b\} \quad g^*i \text{ ker } \{b\} = X$$

$$gspi \text{ ker } \{c\} = X \quad g^*i \text{ ker } \{c\} = X$$

$$\bigcap_{x \in X} gspi \text{ ker } \{x\} = \Phi \quad \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*i \text{ ker } \{x\} \neq \Phi$$

Thus (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly gsp -i- C_0 space but not weakly g^*i - C_0 space.

Theorem 3.14: Every weakly g^*i - C_0 space is a weakly $\alpha^{**}g$ -i - C_0 space.

Proof: Let (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly g^*i - C_0 space.

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*i \text{ Ker } \{x\} = \Phi.$$

Every g^*i – open set is $\alpha^{**}gi$ – open set in (X, τ, \leq) .

Then $g^*i \text{ Ker } \{x\} \subseteq \alpha^{**}gi \text{ Ker } \{x\}; \forall x \in X$.

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X} \alpha^{**}gi \text{ Ker } \{x\} \subseteq \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*i \text{ Ker } \{x\}$$

Since $\bigcap_{x \in X} g^*i \text{ Ker } \{x\} = \Phi$, we have $\bigcap_{x \in X} \alpha^{**}gi \text{ Ker } \{x\} = \Phi$

Therefore, (X, τ, \leq) is weakly $\alpha^{**}g$ -i - C_0 space.

Hence every weakly g^*i - C_0 space is a weakly $\alpha^{**}g$ -i - C_0 space.

The converse of the above theorem is not true as it can be seen by the following example.

Example 3.15: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ $\tau = \{\Phi, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ $\leq = \{(a,a), (b,b), (c,c), (a,b), (c,b)\}$.

Then (X, τ, \leq) is a Topological ordered space.

Now $\alpha^{**}gi$ - open sets are - $\Phi, X, \{c\}, \{a\}$.

g^*i - open sets are - $\Phi, X, \{a\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{**}g_i \ker \{a\} &= \{a\} & g^*i \ker \{a\} &= \{a\} \\ \alpha^{**}g_i \ker \{b\} &= X & g^*i \ker \{b\} &= X \\ \alpha^{**}g_i \ker \{c\} &= \{c\} & g^*i \ker \{c\} &= X \\ \bigcap_{x \in X} \alpha^{**}g_i \ker \{x\} &= \Phi & \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*i \ker \{x\} &\neq \Phi \end{aligned}$$

Thus (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly $\alpha^{**}g$ -i- C_0 space but not weakly g^* -i- C_0 space.

Theorem 3.16: Every weakly g^* -d- C_0 space is a weakly g -d- C_0 space.

Proof: Let (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly g^* -d- C_0 space.

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*d \ker \{x\} = \Phi.$$

Every g^* -d – open set is g -d – open set in (X, τ, \leq) .

Then $g^*d \ker \{x\} \subseteq gd \ker \{x\}; \forall x \in X$.

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X} gd \ker \{x\} \subseteq \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*d \ker \{x\}$$

Since $\bigcap_{x \in X} g^*d \ker \{x\} = \Phi$, we have $\bigcap_{x \in X} gd \ker \{x\} = \Phi$

Therefore, (X, τ, \leq) is weakly g -d- C_0 space.

Hence every weakly g^* -d- C_0 space is weakly g -d- C_0 space.

The converse of the above theorem is not true as it can be seen by the following example.

Example 3.17: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ $\tau = \{\Phi, X, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\} \leq = \{(a,a), (b,b), (c,c), (a,b), (a,c)\}$.

Then (X, τ, \leq) is a Topological ordered Space.

Now gd - open sets are - $\Phi, X, \{b, c\}, \{c\}, \{b\}$.

g^*d - open sets are - $\Phi, X, \{b, c\}$.

$$gd \ker \{a\} = X \quad g^*d \ker \{a\} = X$$

$$gd \ker \{b\} = \{b\} \quad g^*d \ker \{b\} = \{b, c\}$$

$$gd \ker \{c\} = \{c\} \quad g^*d \ker \{c\} = \{b, c\}$$

$$\bigcap_{x \in X} gd \ker \{x\} = \Phi \quad \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*d \ker \{x\} \neq \Phi$$

Thus (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly g -d- C_0 space but not weakly g^* -d- C_0 space.

Theorem 3.18: Every weakly g^* -d- C_0 space is a weakly gs -d- C_0 space.

Proof: Let (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly g^* -d- C_0 space.

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*d \ker \{x\} = \Phi.$$

Every g^* -d – open set is gsd – open set in (X, τ, \leq) .

Then $g^*d \ker \{x\} \subseteq gsd \ker \{x\}; \forall x \in X$.

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X} gsd \ker \{x\} \subseteq \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*d \ker \{x\}$$

Since $\bigcap_{x \in X} g^*d \ker \{x\} = \Phi$, we have $\bigcap_{x \in X} gsd \ker \{x\} = \Phi$

Therefore, (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly gs -d- C_0 space.

Hence every weakly g^* -d- C_0 space is weakly gs -d- C_0 space.

The converse of the above theorem is not true as it can be seen by the following example.

Example 3.19: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ $\tau = \{\Phi, X, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\} \leq = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (a, c)\}$.

Then (X, τ, \leq) is a Topological ordered space.

Now gsd - open sets are - $\Phi, X, \{b, c\}, \{c\}, \{b\}$

g^*d - open sets are - $\Phi, X, \{b, c\}$.

$$gsd \ker \{a\} = X \quad g^*d \ker \{a\} = X$$

$$gsd \ker \{b\} = \{b\} \quad g^*d \ker \{b\} = \{b, c\}$$

$$gsd \ker \{c\} = \{c\} \quad g^*d \ker \{c\} = \{b, c\}$$

$$\bigcap_{x \in X} \text{gsd ker } \{x\} = \Phi \quad \bigcap_{x \in X} \text{g}^* \text{d ker } \{x\} \neq \Phi$$

Thus (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly gs-d- C_0 space but not weakly $\text{g}^* \text{-d-}C_0$ space.

Theorem 3.20: Every weakly $\text{g}^* \text{-d-}C_0$ space is a weakly rg-d - C_0 space.

Proof: Let (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly $\text{g}^* \text{-d-}C_0$ space.

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X} \text{g}^* \text{d Ker } \{x\} = \Phi.$$

Every $\text{g}^* \text{d}$ – open set is rgd – open set in (X, τ, \leq) .

$$\text{Then } \text{g}^* \text{d Ker } \{x\} \subseteq \text{rgd Ker } \{x\}; \forall x \in X.$$

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X} \text{rgd Ker } \{x\} \subseteq \bigcap_{x \in X} \text{g}^* \text{d Ker } \{x\}$$

Since $\bigcap_{x \in X} \text{g}^* \text{d Ker } \{x\} = \Phi$, we have $\bigcap_{x \in X} \text{rgd Ker } \{x\} = \Phi$

Therefore, (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly rg-d - C_0 space.

Hence every weakly $\text{g}^* \text{-d-}C_0$ space is weakly rg-d- C_0 space.

The converse of the above theorem is not true as it can be seen by the following example.

Example 3.21: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ $\tau = \{\Phi, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ $\leq = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (a, c)\}$.

Then (X, τ, \leq) is a Topological ordered space.

Now rgd - open sets are - $\Phi, X, \{c\}, \{b\}$.

$\text{g}^* \text{d}$ - open sets are - $\Phi, X, \{b\}$

$$\text{rgd ker } \{a\} = X \quad \text{g}^* \text{d ker } \{a\} = X$$

$$\text{rgd ker } \{b\} = \{b\} \quad \text{g}^* \text{d ker } \{b\} = \{b\}$$

$$\text{rgd ker } \{c\} = \{c\} \quad \text{g}^* \text{d ker } \{c\} = X$$

$$\bigcap_{x \in X} \text{rgd ker } \{x\} = \Phi \quad \bigcap_{x \in X} \text{g}^* \text{d ker } \{x\} \neq \Phi$$

Thus (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly rg-d- C_0 space but not weakly $\text{g}^* \text{-d-}C_0$ space.

Theorem 3.22: Every weakly $\text{g}^* \text{-d-}C_0$ space is a weakly gpr-d - C_0 space.

Proof: Let (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly $\text{g}^* \text{-d-}C_0$ space.

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X} \text{g}^* \text{d Ker } \{x\} = \Phi.$$

Every $\text{g}^* \text{d}$ – open set is gprd – open set in (X, τ, \leq) .

$$\text{Then } \text{g}^* \text{d Ker } \{x\} \subseteq \text{gprd Ker } \{x\}; \forall x \in X.$$

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X} \text{gprd Ker } \{x\} \subseteq \bigcap_{x \in X} \text{g}^* \text{d Ker } \{x\}$$

Since $\bigcap_{x \in X} \text{g}^* \text{d Ker } \{x\} = \Phi$, we have $\bigcap_{x \in X} \text{gprd Ker } \{x\} = \Phi$

Therefore, (X, τ, \leq) is weakly gpr-d - C_0 space.

Hence every weakly $\text{g}^* \text{-d-}C_0$ space is weakly gpr-d- C_0 space.

The converse of the above theorem is not true as it can be seen by the following example.

Example 3.23: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{\Phi, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ $\leq = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (b, a)\}$.

Then (X, τ, \leq) is a Topological ordered space.

Now gprd- open sets are - $\Phi, X, \{a, b\}, \{c\}, \{a\}$.

$\text{g}^* \text{d}$ - open sets are - $\Phi, X, \{a, b\}, \{a\}$.

$$\text{gprd ker } \{a\} = \{a\} \quad \text{g}^* \text{d ker } \{a\} = \{a\}$$

$$\text{gprd ker } \{b\} = \{a, b\} \quad \text{g}^* \text{d ker } \{b\} = \{a, b\}$$

$$\text{gprd ker } \{c\} = \{c\} \quad \text{g}^* \text{d ker } \{c\} = X$$

$$\bigcap_{x \in X} \text{gprd ker } \{x\} = \Phi \quad \bigcap_{x \in X} \text{g}^* \text{d ker } \{x\} \neq \Phi$$

Thus (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly gpr-d- C_0 space but not weakly $\text{g}^* \text{-d-}C_0$ space .

Theorem 3.24: Every weakly g^* -d - C_0 space is a weakly αg -d - C_0 space.

Proof: Let (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly g^* -d - C_0 space.

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*d \text{ Ker } \{x\} = \Phi.$$

Every g^* -d - open set is αg -d - open set in (X, τ, \leq) .

Then $g^*d \text{ Ker } \{x\} \subseteq \alpha g d \text{ Ker } \{x\}; \forall x \in X$.

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X} \alpha g d \text{ Ker } \{x\} \subseteq \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*d \text{ Ker } \{x\}$$

Since $\bigcap_{x \in X} g^*d \text{ Ker } \{x\} = \Phi$, we have $\bigcap_{x \in X} \alpha g d \text{ Ker } \{x\} = \Phi$

Therefore, (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly αg -d - C_0 space.

Hence every weakly g^* -d- C_0 space is weakly αg -d- C_0 space.

The converse of the above theorem is not true as it can be seen by the following example.

Example 3.25: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ $\tau = \{\Phi, X, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\} \leq = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (a, c)\}$.

Then (X, τ, \leq) is a Topological ordered space.

Now αg -d- open sets are - $\Phi, X, \{b, c\}, \{c\}, \{b\}$.

g^* -d - open sets are - $\Phi, X, \{b, c\}$.

$$\alpha g d \text{ ker } \{a\} = X \quad g^*d \text{ ker } \{a\} = X$$

$$\alpha g d \text{ ker } \{b\} = \{b\} \quad g^*d \text{ ker } \{b\} = \{b, c\}$$

$$\alpha g d \text{ ker } \{c\} = \{c\} \quad g^*d \text{ ker } \{c\} = \{b, c\}$$

$$\bigcap_{x \in X} \alpha g d \text{ ker } \{x\} = \Phi \quad \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*d \text{ ker } \{x\} \neq \Phi$$

Thus (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly αg -d- C_0 space but not weakly g^* -d- C_0 space .

Theorem 3.26: Every weakly g^* -d - C_0 space is a weakly gsp -d - C_0 space.

Proof: Let (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly g^* -d - C_0 space.

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*d \text{ Ker } \{x\} = \Phi.$$

Every g^* -d - open set is gsp -d - open set in (X, τ, \leq) .

Then $g^*d \text{ Ker } \{x\} \subseteq gsp d \text{ Ker } \{x\}; \forall x \in X$.

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X} gsp d \text{ Ker } \{x\} \subseteq \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*d \text{ Ker } \{x\}$$

Since $\bigcap_{x \in X} g^*d \text{ Ker } \{x\} = \Phi$, we have $\bigcap_{x \in X} gsp d \text{ Ker } \{x\} = \Phi$

Therefore, (X, τ, \leq) is weakly gsp -d - C_0 space.

Hence every weakly g^* -d- C_0 space is weakly gsp -d- C_0 space.

The converse of the above theorem is not true as it can be seen by the following example.

Example 3.27: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ $\tau = \{\Phi, X, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\} \leq = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (a, c)\}$.

Then (X, τ, \leq) is a Topological ordered space.

Now gsp -d- open sets are - $\Phi, X, \{b, c\}, \{c\}, \{b\}$.

g^* -d - open sets are - $\Phi, X, \{b, c\}$.

$$gsp d \text{ ker } \{a\} = X \quad g^*d \text{ ker } \{a\} = X$$

$$gsp d \text{ ker } \{b\} = \{b\} \quad g^*d \text{ ker } \{b\} = \{b, c\}$$

$$gsp d \text{ ker } \{c\} = \{c\} \quad g^*d \text{ ker } \{c\} = \{b, c\}$$

$$\bigcap_{x \in X} gsp d \text{ ker } \{x\} = \Phi \quad \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*d \text{ ker } \{x\} = \Phi$$

Thus (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly gsp -d- C_0 space but not weakly g^* -d- C_0 space .

Theorem 3.28: Every weakly g^* -d - C_0 space is a weakly $\alpha^{**}g$ -d - C_0 space.

Proof: Let (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly g^* -d - C_0 space.

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*d \text{ Ker}\{x\} = \Phi.$$

Every g^*d – open set is $\alpha^{**}gd$ – open set in (X, τ, \leq) .

Then $g^*d \text{ Ker}\{x\} \subseteq \alpha^{**}gd \text{ Ker}\{x\}; \forall x \in X$.

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X} \alpha^{**}gd \text{ Ker}\{x\} \subseteq \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*d \text{ Ker}\{x\}$$

Since $\bigcap_{x \in X} g^*d \text{ Ker}\{x\} = \Phi$, we have $\bigcap_{x \in X} \alpha^{**}gd \text{ Ker}\{x\} = \Phi$

Therefore, (X, τ, \leq) is weakly $\alpha^{**}g-d - C_0$ space.

Hence every weakly $g^*d - C_0$ space is a weakly $\alpha^{**}g-d - C_0$ space.

The converse of the above theorem is not true as it can be seen by the following example.

Example 3.29: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ $\tau = \{\Phi, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ $\leq = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (a, c)\}$.

Then (X, τ, \leq) is a Topological ordered space.

Now $\alpha^{**}gd$ - open sets are - $\Phi, X, \{c\}, \{b\}$.

g^*d - open sets are - $\Phi, X, \{b\}$.

$$\alpha^{**}gd \text{ ker}\{a\} = X \quad g^*d \text{ ker}\{a\} = X$$

$$\alpha^{**}gd \text{ ker}\{b\} = \{b\} \quad g^*d \text{ ker}\{b\} = \{b\}$$

$$\alpha^{**}gd \text{ ker}\{c\} = \{c\} \quad g^*d \text{ ker}\{c\} = X$$

$$\bigcap_{x \in X} \alpha^{**}gd \text{ ker}\{x\} = \Phi \quad \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*d \text{ ker}\{x\} \neq \Phi$$

Thus (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly $\alpha^{**}g-d - C_0$ space but not weakly $g^*d - C_0$ space .

Theorem 3.30: Every weakly $g^*b - C_0$ space is a weakly $g-b - C_0$ space.

Proof: Let (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly $g^*b - C_0$ space.

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*b \text{ Ker}\{x\} = \Phi.$$

Every g^*b – open set is gb – open set in (X, τ, \leq) .

Then $g^*b \text{ Ker}\{x\} \subseteq gb \text{ Ker}\{x\}; \forall x \in X$.

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X} gb \text{ Ker}\{x\} \subseteq \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*b \text{ Ker}\{x\}$$

Since $\bigcap_{x \in X} g^*b \text{ Ker}\{x\} = \Phi$, we have $\bigcap_{x \in X} gb \text{ Ker}\{x\} = \Phi$

Therefore, (X, τ, \leq) is weakly $g-b - C_0$ space.

Hence every weakly $g^*b - C_0$ space is weakly $g-b - C_0$ space.

The converse of the above theorem is not true as it can be seen by the following example.

Example 3.31: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ $\tau = \{\Phi, X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}$ $\leq = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c)\}$.

Then (X, τ, \leq) is a Topological ordered Space.

Now gb - open sets are - $\Phi, X, \{a, c\}, \{a\}, \{b\}$.

g^*b - open sets are - $\Phi, X, \{a, c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a\}$.

$$gb \text{ ker}\{a\} = \{a\} \quad g^*b \text{ ker}\{a\} = \{a\}$$

$$gb \text{ ker}\{b\} = \{b\} \quad g^*b \text{ ker}\{b\} = \{a, b\}$$

$$gb \text{ ker}\{c\} = \{a, c\} \quad g^*b \text{ ker}\{c\} = \{a, c\}$$

$$\bigcap_{x \in X} gb \text{ ker}\{x\} = \Phi \quad \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*b \text{ ker}\{x\} \neq \Phi$$

Thus (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly $g-b - C_0$ space but not weakly $g^*b - C_0$ space .

Theorem 3.32: Every weakly $g^*b - C_0$ space is a weakly $gsb - C_0$ space.

Proof: Let (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly $g^*b - C_0$ space.

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*b \text{ Ker}\{x\} = \Phi.$$

Every g^*b – open set is gsb – open set in (X, τ, \leq) .

Then $g^*b \text{ Ker}\{x\} \subseteq \text{gsb Ker}\{x\}; \forall x \in X$.

$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X} \text{gsb Ker}\{x\} \subseteq \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*b \text{ Ker}\{x\}$

Since $\bigcap_{x \in X} g^*b \text{ Ker}\{x\} = \Phi$, we have $\bigcap_{x \in X} \text{gsb Ker}\{x\} = \Phi$

Therefore, (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly gs-b - C_0 space.

Hence every weakly g^* -b- C_0 space is weakly gs-b- C_0 space.

The converse of the above theorem is not true as it can be seen by the following example.

Example 3.33: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ $\tau = \{\Phi, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\} \leq = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, c)\}$.

Then (X, τ, \leq) is a Topological Space.

Now gsb - open sets are - $\Phi, X, \{a, c\}, \{b\}$.

g^*b - open sets are - $\Phi, X, \{b\}$.

$\text{gsb ker}\{a\} = \{a, c\}$ $g^*b \text{ ker}\{a\} = X$

$\text{gsb ker}\{b\} = \{b\}$ $g^*b \text{ ker}\{b\} = \{b\}$

$\text{gsb ker}\{c\} = \{a, c\}$ $g^*b \text{ ker}\{c\} = X$

$\bigcap_{x \in X} \text{gsb ker}\{X\} = \Phi$ $\bigcap_{x \in X} g^*b \text{ ker}\{X\} \neq \Phi$

Thus (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly gs-b- C_0 space but not weakly g^* -b- C_0 space.

Theorem 3.34: Every weakly g^* -b - C_0 space is a weakly rg-b - C_0 space.

Proof: Let (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly g^* -b - C_0 space.

$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*b \text{ Ker}\{x\} = \Phi$.

Every g^*b - open set is rgb - open set in (X, τ, \leq) .

Then $g^*b \text{ Ker}\{x\} \subseteq \text{rgb Ker}\{x\}; \forall x \in X$.

$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X} \text{rgb Ker}\{x\} \subseteq \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*b \text{ Ker}\{x\}$

Since $\bigcap_{x \in X} g^*b \text{ Ker}\{x\} = \Phi$, we have $\bigcap_{x \in X} \text{rgb Ker}\{x\} = \Phi$

Therefore, (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly rg-b - C_0 space.

Hence every weakly g^* -b- C_0 space is weakly rg-b- C_0 space.

The converse of the above theorem is not true as it can be seen by the following example.

Example 3.35: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ $\tau = \{\Phi, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\} \leq = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (b, a)\}$

Then (X, τ, \leq) is a Topological ordered Space.

Now rgb - open sets are - $\Phi, X, \{a, b\}, \{c\}$.

g^*b - open sets are - $\Phi, X, \{a, b\}$.

$\text{rgb ker}\{a\} = \{a, b\}$ $g^*b \text{ ker}\{a\} = \{a, b\}$

$\text{rgb ker}\{b\} = \{a, b\}$ $g^*b \text{ ker}\{b\} = \{a, b\}$

$\text{rgb ker}\{c\} = \{c\}$ $g^*b \text{ ker}\{c\} = X$

$\bigcap_{x \in X} \text{rgb ker}\{x\} = \Phi$ $\bigcap_{x \in X} g^*b \text{ ker}\{x\} \neq \Phi$

Thus (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly rg-b- C_0 space but not weakly g^* -b- C_0 space.

Theorem 3.36: Every weakly g^* -b - C_0 space is a weakly gpr-b - C_0 space.

Proof: Let (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly g^* -b - C_0 space.

$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*b \text{ Ker}\{x\} = \Phi$.

Every g^*b - open set is gprb - open set in (X, τ, \leq) .

Then $g^*b \text{ Ker}\{x\} \subseteq \text{gprb Ker}\{x\}; \forall x \in X$.

$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X} \text{gprb Ker}\{x\} \subseteq \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*b \text{ Ker}\{x\}$

Since $\bigcap_{x \in X} g^*b \text{ Ker}\{x\} = \Phi$, we have $\bigcap_{x \in X} \text{gprb Ker}\{x\} = \Phi$

Therefore, (X, τ, \leq) is weakly gpr-b - C_0 space.

Hence every weakly g^* -b- C_0 space is a weakly gpr-b- C_0 space.

The converse of the above theorem is not true as it can be seen by the following example.

Example 3.37: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ $\tau = \{\Phi, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ $\leq = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (b, a)\}$.

Then (X, τ, \leq) is a Topological ordered Space.

Now gprb- open sets are - $\Phi, X, \{a, b\}, \{c\}$.

g^* b - open sets are - $\Phi, X, \{a, b\}$.

gprb ker $\{a\} = \{a, b\}$ g^* b ker $\{a\} = \{a, b\}$

gprb ker $\{b\} = \{a, b\}$ g^* b ker $\{b\} = \{a, b\}$

gprb ker $\{c\} = \{c\}$ g^* b ker $\{c\} = X$

$\bigcap_{x \in X} \text{gprb ker } \{x\} = \Phi$ $\bigcap_{x \in X} g^*b \text{ ker } \{x\} \neq \Phi$

Thus (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly gpr-b- C_0 space but not weakly g^* -b- C_0 space .

Theorem 3.38: Every weakly g^* -b - C_0 space is a weakly α g-b - C_0 space.

Proof: Let (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly g^* -b - C_0 space.

$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*b \text{ Ker } \{x\} = \Phi$.

Every g^* b - open set is α gb - open set in (X, τ, \leq) .

Then $g^*b \text{ Ker } \{x\} \subseteq \alpha gb \text{ Ker } \{x\}; \forall x \in X$.

$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X} \alpha gb \text{ Ker } \{x\} \subseteq \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*b \text{ Ker } \{x\}$

Since $\bigcap_{x \in X} g^*b \text{ Ker } \{x\} = \Phi$, we have $\bigcap_{x \in X} \alpha gb \text{ Ker } \{x\} = \Phi$

Therefore, (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly α g-b - C_0 space.

Hence every weakly g^* -b- C_0 space is weakly α g-b- C_0 space.

The converse of the above theorem is not true as it can be seen by the following example.

Example 3.39: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ $\tau = \{\Phi, X, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\}$ $\leq = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (b, a)\}$.

Then (X, τ, \leq) is a Topological ordered Space.

Now α gb- open sets are - $\Phi, X, \{a, b\}, \{c\}$.

g^* b - open sets are - Φ, X .

$\alpha gb \text{ ker } \{a\} = \{a, b\}$ $g^*b \text{ ker } \{a\} = X$

$\alpha gb \text{ ker } \{b\} = \{a, b\}$ $g^*b \text{ ker } \{b\} = X$

$\alpha gb \text{ ker } \{c\} = \{c\}$ $g^*b \text{ ker } \{c\} = X$

$\bigcap_{x \in X} \alpha gb \text{ ker } \{x\} = \Phi$ $\bigcap_{x \in X} g^*b \text{ ker } \{x\} \neq \Phi$

Thus (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly α g-b- C_0 space but not weakly g^* -b- C_0 space .

Theorem 3.40: Every weakly g^* -b - C_0 space is a weakly gsp-b - C_0 space.

Proof: Let (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly g^* -b - C_0 space.

$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*b \text{ Ker } \{x\} = \Phi$.

Every g^* b - open set is gspb - open set in (X, τ, \leq) .

Then $g^*b \text{ Ker } \{x\} \subseteq \text{gspb Ker } \{x\}; \forall x \in X$.

$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X} \text{gspb Ker } \{x\} \subseteq \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*b \text{ Ker } \{x\}$

Since $\bigcap_{x \in X} g^*b \text{ Ker } \{x\} = \Phi$, we have $\bigcap_{x \in X} \text{gspb Ker } \{x\} = \Phi$

Therefore, (X, τ, \leq) is weakly gsp-b - C_0 space.

Hence every weakly g^*b-C_0 space is weakly $gsp-b-C_0$ space.

The converse of the above theorem is not true as it can be seen by the following example.

Example 3.41: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ $\tau = \{\Phi, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\} \leq = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, c)\}$.

Then (X, τ, \leq) is a Topological ordered Space.

Now $gspb$ - open sets are - $\Phi, X, \{a, c\}, \{b\}$.

g^*b - open sets are - $\Phi, X, \{b\}$.

$gspb \ker \{a\} = \{a, c\}$ $g^*b \ker \{a\} = X$

$gspb \ker \{b\} = \{b\}$ $g^*b \ker \{b\} = \{b\}$

$gspb \ker \{c\} = \{a, c\}$ $g^*b \ker \{c\} = X$

$\bigcap_{x \in X} gspb \ker \{x\} = \Phi$ $\bigcap_{x \in X} g^*b \ker \{x\} \neq \Phi$

Thus (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly $gsp-b-C_0$ space but not weakly g^*b-C_0 space .

Theorem 3.42: Every weakly $g^*b - C_0$ space is a weakly $\alpha^{**}gb - C_0$ space.

Proof: Let (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly $g^*b - C_0$ space.

$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*b \ker \{x\} = \Phi$.

Every g^*b - open set is $\alpha^{**}gb$ - open set in (X, τ, \leq) .

Then $g^*b \ker \{x\} \subseteq \alpha^{**}gb \ker \{x\}; \forall x \in X$.

$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X} \alpha^{**}gb \ker \{x\} \subseteq \bigcap_{x \in X} g^*b \ker \{x\}$

Since $\bigcap_{x \in X} g^*b \ker \{x\} = \Phi$, we have $\bigcap_{x \in X} \alpha^{**}gb \ker \{x\} = \Phi$

Therefore, (X, τ, \leq) is weakly $\alpha^{**}gb - C_0$ space.

Hence every weakly $g^*b - C_0$ space is a weakly $\alpha^{**}gb - C_0$ space.

The converse of the above theorem is not true as it can be seen by the following example.

Example 3.43: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ $\tau = \{\Phi, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\} \leq = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (b, a)\}$.

Then (X, τ, \leq) is a Topological ordered Space.

Now $\alpha^{**}gb$ - open sets are - $\Phi, X, \{a, b\}, \{c\}$.

g^*b - open sets are - $\Phi, X, \{a, b\}$.

$\alpha^{**}gb \ker \{a\} = \{a, b\}$ $g^*b \ker \{a\} = \{a, b\}$

$\alpha^{**}gb \ker \{b\} = \{a, b\}$ $g^*b \ker \{b\} = \{a, b\}$

$\alpha^{**}gb \ker \{c\} = \{c\}$ $g^*b \ker \{c\} = X$

$\bigcap_{x \in X} \alpha^{**}gb \ker \{x\} = \Phi$ $\bigcap_{x \in X} g^*b \ker \{x\} \neq \Phi$

Thus (X, τ, \leq) is a weakly $\alpha^{**}gb - C_0$ space but not weakly $g^*b - C_0$ space .

References

- [1]. K.V.RamaRao Rao, V. Amarendra Babu and M.K.R.S.Veera Kumar, regular generalized closed type sets in topological ordered spaces, Diophantus Math.,4(1)(2015),11-16.
- [2]. V. Amarendra Babu, K. Moses, and M.K.R.S. Veera Kumar some more applications of generalized pre regular closed type sets in topological ordered spaces. (Vol-1, December -2015), 21-46.
- [3]. G.Banu Vinolia, V.Amarendra Babu and M.K.R.S.Veera Kumar, on some applications of generalized semi-pre closed type sets in topological ordered spaces, Bessel Journal of Mathematics Vol 5, sept-2015, 47-60.
- [4]. S.P.Arya and T.Nour, characterizations of s-normal Indian J.Pure Appl Math., 21(8) (1990), 717-719.
- [5]. P.Bhattacharya and B.K.Lahiri, semi generalized closed sets in topology Indian J.Math, 29(3) (1983), 375-382.

- [6]. Maki. H, Devi.R and Balachandran.K, Generalized closed sets and α -generalized closed sets, Mem, Fac.Sci.Kochi Sev., A., Math15 (1994), 51-63.
- [7].S.G. Crossley and S.K.Hildebrand, semi-closure, Texas J.Sci., 22 (1971)99-112.
- [8].K.KrishnaRao Rao and R.Chudamani, Pre-homomorphisms in topological ordered spaces, Technology and humanities, 41(2012), 408-421.
- [9].Dontchev J., on generalization semi-pre open sets, Mem, fac Sci.Kochi Sev.A, Math., 16(1995), 35-48.
- [10].G.Dimaio, separation axioms weaker than R_0 , Indian J.Pure Math.16 (1985), 373-375.
- [11].Y.Gnanambal, on gpr closed sets in topological spaces, Indian J.pure.appl.Math., 28(3) (1997), 351-360.
- [12].N.levine, generalized closed sets in topological spaces, Rend.circ. Mat. Palermo, 19(1970), 89-96.
- [13].L.Nachbin Topology and order, D.Van. Nostrand inc., Princeton New Jersey (1965).
- [14].O.Njastand,on, on some classes of nearly open sets, Pacific J.Math, 15 (1965), 961-970.
- [15].N.palaniappan and K.C.Rao regular generalized closed sets, Kyungpook math., 33(1993), 211-219.
- [16].V.V.S .Ramachandram, B.Sankara Rao, M.K.R.S.Veera Kumar some more relationship of g- closed, g^* -closed and sg-closed type sets with other closed type sets in Topological ordered spaces, Indian J.Math. And nature, vol-1, (December-2015) (1-9).
- [17].P. Sailaja, examples on counter examples of some separation properties using finite topologies, M.Phil dissertation, Nagarjuna University May (2002).
- [18].M.K.R.S. Veera Kumar, homomorphisms in topological ordered spaces, Acta cinecia India, XXVIII [M][1][2002],67-76.
- [19].P.Sivanagaraju, V.Sivanaga Malleswari and M.K.R.S.Veera Kumar- α^{**} generalized closed sets in topological ordered space, Vessal J.Math., Vol-5, Sec-2015, 13-24.



BIOGRAPHY

First Author Dr.V.Amarendra Babu, presently working as a Asst.Professor in the Dept of Mathematics at Nagarjuna University, Guntur district, A.P. He did Ph. D from Acharya Nagarjuna University, in the field of Algebraic topology. He published 28 research papers in the international journals and 3 Ph.D&01 M.Phil Degrees awarded under his guidance.

Second Author J.Aswini, full time Research scholar, Department of Mathematics, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Nagarjuna Nagar -522501, in the field of topology under the guidance of Dr.V.Amarendra Babu, Asst.Professor, Dept.of Mathematics, Acharya Nagarjuna University. Nagarjuna Nagar-522510.