

A Variety Problem in Linear Programming

¹Dr.K.V.L.N.Acharyulu

*Associate Professor,
Department of Mathematics,
Bapatla Engineering College,
Bapatla.*

²P.Hema

*II M.sc ,Dept. of Maths,
Bapatla Engineering College,
Bapatla.*

³T.Vimala

*II M.sc ,Dept. of Maths,
Bapatla Engineering College,
Bapatla.*

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to find out an optimum basic feasible solution for a variety problem in LPP. It is framed by taking strictly odd coefficients in the objective function with successive coefficients to all the constrains. In this study, the optimum basic feasible solution is successfully derived as $x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0, x_3 = \frac{n+5}{n+2}$ with the objective function value $\text{Min}Z = (2n + 1)(n + 5)/(n + 2)$ only in one case out of four.

1.Introduction:

An organized group of people with a particular purpose as a business or government departments faces the problem on an amount of resources assigned to particular recipients such as labour, machine, material etc. Most of these resolutions reach the solution after the required considerations according to the constrains. The Operation Research model exhibits the solution of system with the help of decision analysis.

Linear programming [1,3] is the most adaptable and extensively used quantitative technique. This model gives well-organized methods for resolving the optimal decision. The optimal solution is that meets the objective of management based on varies limitations and restrictions.

1.1 Advantages of liner programming:

We have the following benefits with the Linear programming.

- (i). Maximizes the profit of organization by selection of minimum product.
- (ii).It minimizes the distribution cost for firms.
- (iii). By selection of variety of stocks,it will increase the return investment by adopting suitable decision.

1.2 Application Areas of linear programming:

Linear programming technique is effectively employed for decision making in business, industry and many other areas such as Applications in Agricultural, Military, Production Management [2,6], Financial Management & Personal Management.

1.3 Simplex Method:

This method was invented by George Dantzing [3,4] in 1947. The method is also known as simplex algorithm. The name of the algorithm is derived from the concept of a simplex and was suggested by T.S. Motzkin

2. Simplex Algorithm:

Simplex method is employed to investigate the nature of the solution for the constituted LPP.

Step(1): Let us start with the objective function whether it is maximized or not. If not, we have to convert the minimization problem into maximization by using the relation $\min z = -\max(-z)$

Step(2): All b_i 's in the constraints should be at positive level. Otherwise, all b_i 's should be converted as positive by multiplying the constraint by negative sign.

Step(3): Introduce slack variables or surplus variables along with artificial variables in the given constraints to make them equality constraints.

Step(4): In case of introducing surplus variables along with artificial variables, we assign $-M$ to the artificial variables in the objective function.

Step(5): For getting initial basic feasible solution, the non-basic variables are to be vanished.

Step(6): In this method, first we find the entering variable based on the minimum value of $z_j - c_j$. The corresponding column of entering variable is known as 'pivot column'.

Step(7): By taking the minimum ratio of the value of basic solution and respective value of pivot column, we get the leaving variable. The corresponding row of the leaving variable is known as 'Pivot row'.

Step(8): The column value of pivot row and pivot column is the pivot element. By the simplex method, the pivot element must be converted to unity and other elements in the pivot column are to be converted as zeroes by using elementary row transformations.

Step(9): The process is continued until the optimum condition ($z_j - c_j > 0$) is satisfied including the artificial variable at zero level. Otherwise, go to step:10

Step(10): When all elements in the pivot column are at negative level or zero in any iteration the solution is unbounded solution. Otherwise, go to step:11

Step(11): When the artificial variables are at positive level / zero level even then if the optimality condition is satisfied, the current solution is called pseudo optimum solution (no solution).

3. Basic problem:

The following LPP is constructed as below:

Objective function: Min/Max $Z = (2n+1)x_1 + (2n+3)x_2 + (2n+5)x_3$

Subject to the constraints : $(n+1)x_1 + (n+2)x_2 + (n+3)x_3 \leq$ or \geq or $= (n+3)$

$$(n+2)x_1 + (n+3)x_2 + (n+4)x_3 \leq$$
 or \geq or $= (n+4)$

$$(n+3)x_1 + (n+4)x_2 + (n+5)x_3 \leq$$
 or \geq or $= (n+5)$

and $x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0$

It is divided into four cases.

3.1 Case(1) : First constraint is of type \leq and the remaining two are of type \geq .

Objective function: $\text{Min}Z = (2n+1)x_1 + (2n+3)x_2 + (2n+5)x_3$

Subject to the constraints : $(n+1)x_1 + (n+2)x_2 + (n+3)x_3 \leq (n+4)$

$(n+2)x_1 + (n+3)x_2 + (n+4)x_3 \geq (n+5)$

$(n+3)x_1 + (n+4)x_2 + (n+5)x_3 \geq (n+6)$

and $x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0$

By applying simplex method, we get the following table when $n=1,2,3,4,5$ & 6 .

Table-1

Iteration	Objective function =MinZ	Entering Variable	Leaving Variable	Pivot Position (k,r)	Are any variables introduced?	Is optimality condition satisfied?	Optimum basic feasible solution	Does it contain Alternative solution?
When n=1								
Initial	-13M	x_3	A_2	(3,3)	Slack (1)	No	$X = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	No
First	$(-M-49)/6$	s_3	A_1	(6,2)	Surplus(2)	No		
Second	-42/5	x_1	x_3	(5,1)	Artificial(2)	No		
Third	-6	*	*	*	variables	Yes		
When n=2								
Initial	-15M	x_3	A_2	(3,3)	Slack (1)	No	$X = \begin{pmatrix} 7/4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	No
First	$(-M-42)/7$	s_3	A_1	(6,2)	Surplus(2)	No		
Second	-21/2	x_1	x_3	(5,1)	Artificial(2)	No		
Third	-35/4	*	*	*	variables	Yes		
When n=3								
Initial	-17M	x_3	A_2	(3,3)	Slack (1)	No	$X = \begin{pmatrix} 8/5 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	No
First	$(-M-99)/8$	s_3	A_1	(6,2)	Surplus(2)	No		
Second	-88/7	x_1	x_3	(5,1)	Artificial(2)	No		
Third	-56/5	*	*	*	variables	Yes		
When n=4								
Initial	-19M	x_3	A_2	(3,3)	Slack (1)	No	$X = \begin{pmatrix} 3/2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	No
First	$(-M-113)/9$	s_3	A_1	(6,2)	Surplus(2)	No		
Second	-117/8	x_1	x_3	(5,1)	Artificial(2)	No		
Third	-27/2	*	*	*	variables	Yes		
When n=5								
Initial	-21M	x_3	A_2	(3,3)	Slack (1)	No	$X = \begin{pmatrix} 10/7 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	No
First	$(-M-165)/8$	s_3	A_1	(6,2)	Surplus(2)	No		
Second	-50/3	x_1	x_3	(5,1)	Artificial(2)	No		
Third	-110/7	*	*	*	variables	Yes		
When n=6								
Initial	-23M	x_3	A_2	(3,3)	Slack (1)	No		No
First	$(-M-204)/11$	s_3	A_1	(6,2)	Surplus(2)	No		
Second	-187/10	x_1	x_3	(5,1)	Artificial(2)	No		

Third	-143/8	*	*	*	variables	Yes	$X = \begin{pmatrix} 11/8 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	
-------	--------	---	---	---	-----------	-----	--	--

3.1.1 Conclusions:

In this Case, The following conclusions are obtained.

(i).One Slack variable, two Surplus Variables & two Artificial Variables are introduced in the Constraints.

(ii).In the first iteration: Entering Variable- x_3 , Leaving Variable- A_2 ;

In the Second iteration: Entering Variable- s_3 , Leaving Variable- A_1 ;

In the Third iteration: Entering Variable- x_1 , Leaving Variable- x_3

(iii).The Pivot position moves from first iteration (3,3) to second iteration (6,2) and then it moves to third iteration (5,1).

(iv).The optimum basic feasible solution is obtained as $x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0$ & $x_3 = n + 5/(n + 2)$ at third iteration.

(v).The value of the objective function is $\text{Min } Z = (n + 5)(2n + 1)/(n + 2)$

(vi).No alternative solution is traced.

3.2 Case(II):

First and Third constraints are of type \geq and the remaining second one is of type \leq .

Objective function: $\text{Min}Z = (2n+1)x_1+(2n+3) x_2+(2n+5) x_3$

Subject to constraints: $(n+1)x_1 + (n+2)x_2 + (n+3) x_3 \geq (n+4)$

$(n+2) x_1 + (n+3)x_2 + (n+4)x_3 \leq (n+5)$

$(n+3) x_1 + (n+4) x_2 +(n+5) x_3 \geq(n+6)$

and $x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0$

By applying simplex method, we get the following table when $n=1,2,3,4,5$ & 6

Table-2

Iteration	Objective function =MinZ	Entering Variable	Leaving Variable	Pivot Position (k,r)	Are any variables introduced?	Is optimality condition satisfied?	Optimum basic feasible solution	Does it contain Alternative solution?
When n=1								
Initial	-12M	x_3	A_2	(3,3)	Slack (1)	No		
First	$(-2M-49)/6$	s_3	s_2	(6,2)	Surplus(2)	No	No	No
Second	$(-M-45)/5$	*	*	*	Artificial(2) variables	Yes		
When n=2								
Initial	-14M	x_3	A_2	(3,3)	Slack (1)	No		
First	$(-2M-72)/7$	s_3	s_2	(6,2)	Surplus(2)	No	No	No
Second	$(-M-63)/6$	*	*	*	Artificial(2) variables	Yes		
When n=3								

Initial	-16M	x_3	A_2	(3,3)	Slack (1)	No		
First	$(-2M-99)/8$	s_3	s_2	(6,2)	Surplus(2)	No	No	No
Second	$(-M-88)/7$	*	*	*	Artificial(2) variables	Yes		
When n=4								
Initial	-18M	x_3	A_2	(3,3)	Slack (1)	No		
First	$2(-M-65)/9$	s_3	s_2	(6,2)	Surplus(2)	No	No	No
Second	$(-M-88)/7$	*	*	*	Artificial(2) variables	Yes		
When n=5								
Initial	-20M	x_3	A_2	(3,3)	Slack (1)	No		
First	$(-2M-165)/10$	s_3	s_2	(6,2)	Surplus(2)	No	No	No
Second	$(-M-150)/9$	*	*	*	Artificial(2) variables	Yes		
When n=6								
Initial	-22M	x_3	A_2	(3,3)	Slack (1)	No		
First	$(-2M-204)/11$	s_3	s_2	(6,2)	Surplus(2)	No	No	No
Second	$(-M-63)/6$	*	*	*	Artificial(2) variables	Yes		

3.2.1 Conclusions:

In this case, The below conclusions are made.

- (i).One Slack variable, two Surplus Variables & two Artificial Variables are introduced in the Constraints.
- (ii).In the first iteration: Entering Variable- x_3 ,Leaving Variable- A_2 .
In the Second iteration: Entering Variable- s_3 ,Leaving Variable- s_2 ;
- (iii). The Pivot position moves from first iteration (3,3) to second iteration (6,2) .
- (iv).The optimality condition is satisfied.But it has no basic feasible solution.

3.3 Case(III):

First and second constraints are of type \geq and the remaining third one is of type \leq .

Objective function: $MinZ = (2n+1)x_1+(2n+3) x_2+(2n+5) x_3$

Subject to constraints: $(n+1)x_1 + (n+2)x_2 + (n+3) x_3 \geq (n+4)$

$(n+2) x_1 + (n+3)x_2+ (n+4)x_3 \geq (n+5)$

$(n+3) x_1 + (n+4) x_2 +(n+5) x_3 \leq (n+6)$

and $x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0$

By applying simplex method, we get the following table when n=1,2,3,4,5 & 6

Table-3

Iteration	Objective function Z=Max/Min	Entering Variable	Leaving Variable	Pivot Position (k,r)	Are any variables introduced?	Is optimality condition satisfied?	Optimum basic feasible solution	Does it contain Alternative solution?
When n=1								
Initial	-11M	x_3	s_3	(3,3)	Slack (1) Surplus(2)	No	No	No
First	$(-3M-49)/6$	*	*	*	Artificial(2) variables	Yes	No	No
When n=2								
Initial	-13M	x_3	s_3	(3,3)	Slack (1) Surplus(2)	No	No	No
First	$(-3M-72)/7$	*	*	*	Artificial(2) variables	Yes	No	No
When n=3								
Initial	-15M	x_3	s_3	(3,3)	Slack (1) Surplus(2)	No	No	No
First	$(-3M-99)/8$	*	*	*	Artificial(2) variables	Yes	No	No
When n=4								
Initial	-17M	x_3	s_3	(3,3)	Slack (1) Surplus(2)	No	No	No
First	$(-3M-130)/9$	*	*	*	Artificial(2) variables	Yes	No	No
When n=5								
Initial	-19M	x_3	s_3	(3,3)	Slack (1) Surplus(2)	No	No	No
First	$(-3M-165)/10$	*	*	*	Artificial(2) variables	Yes	No	No
When n=6								
Initial	-21M	x_3	s_3	(3,3)	Slack (1) Surplus(2)	No	No	No
First	$(-3M-204)/11$	*	*	*	Artificial(2) variables	Yes	No	No

3.3.1 Conclusions:

In this Case, The conclusions are established as below.

- (i). One Slack variable, Two Surplus Variable & Two Artificial Variable are introduced in the Constraints.
- (ii). In the first iteration: Entering Variable- x_3 , Leaving Variable- s_3 ;
- (iii). The Pivot position in the first iteration is (3,3).
- (iv). The optimality condition is satisfied. But it has no basic feasible solution.

3.4 Case (IV): All the constrains are of type \geq .

Objective function: $\text{Max}Z = (2n+1)x_1 + (2n+3)x_2 + (2n+5)x_3$

Subject to constraints : $(n+1)x_1 + (n+2)x_2 + (n+3)x_3 \geq (n+4)$

$(n+2)x_1 + (n+3)x_2 + (n+4)x_3 \geq (n+5)$

$(n+3)x_1 + (n+4)x_2 + (n+5)x_3 \geq (n+6)$

and $x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0$

By applying simplex method, we get the following table when $n=1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ & 6

Table-4

Iteration	Objective function =MaxZ	Entering Variable	Leaving Variable	Pivot Position (k,r)	Are any variables introduced?	Is optimality condition satisfied?	Optimum basic feasible solution	Does it contain Alternative solution?
When n=1								
Initial	-19M	x_3	A_3	(3,3)	Surplus(3) Artificial(3) variables	No	No	No
First	$(-M+140)/9$	s_3	A_2	(6,2)		No		
Second	$63/4$	s_2	A_1	(5,1)		No		
Third	16	*	*	*		Yes		
When n=2								
Initial	-21M	x_3	A_3	(3,3)	Surplus(3) Artificial(3) variables	No	No	No
First	$(-3M+72)/7$	s_3	A_2	(6,2)		No		
Second	$(-M+63)/6$	s_2	A_1	(5,1)		No		
Third	$54/5$	*	*	*		Yes		
When n=3								
Initial	-24M	x_3	A_3	(3,3)	Surplus(3) Artificial(3) variables	No	No	No
First	$(-3M+990)/8$	s_3	A_2	(6,2)		No		
Second	$(-M+88)/7$	s_2	A_1	(5,1)		No		
Third	$77/6$	*	*	*		Yes		
When n=4								
Initial	-27M	x_3	A_3	(3,3)	Surplus(3) Artificial(3) variables	No	No	No
First	$(-3M+130)/9$	s_3	A_2	(6,2)		No		
Second	$(-M+117)/8$	s_2	A_1	(5,1)		No		
Third	$104/7$	*	*	*		Yes		
When n=5								
Initial	-30M	x_3	A_3	(3,3)	Surplus(3) Artificial(3) variables	No	No	No
First	$(-3M+165)/10$	s_3	A_2	(6,2)		No		
Second	$(-M+150)/9$	s_2	A_1	(5,1)		No		
Third	$135/8$	s_2	A_1	(5,1)		No		

		*	*	*		Yes		
When n=6								
Initial	-33M	x_3	A_3	(3,3)	Surplus(3) Artificial(3) variables	No	No	No
First	$(-3M+204)/11$	s_3	A_2	(6,2)		No		
Second	$(-M+187)/10$	s_2	A_1	(5,1)		No		
Third	170/9	*	*	*		Yes		

3.4.1 Conclusions:

In this Case, The following conclusions are obtained.

- (i). One Slack variable, two Surplus Variables & two Artificial Variables are introduced in the Constraints.
- (ii).In the first iteration: Entering Variable- x_3 ,Leaving Variable- A_3 ;
In the Second iteration: Entering Variable- s_3 ,Leaving Variable- A_2 ;
In the Third iteration: Entering Variable- s_2 ,Leaving Variable- A_1
- (iii).The Pivot position moves from first iteration (3,3) to second iteration (6,2) & from second iteration (6,2) to third iteration (5,1).
- (iv).No optimum basic feasible solution is obtained.It has an unbounded Solution.

4.Over all Conclusions:

Case	Optimum Basic Feasible Solution (X)	The value of the objective function (Z)	An Alternative Solution (if exists)
I	$x_1 = x_2 = 0,$ $x_3 = (n + 5)/(n + 2)$	$Min Z = (n + 5)(2n + 1)/(n + 2)$	No
II	Not satisfied	*	*
III	Not satisfied	*	*
IV	Unbounded Solution	*	*

5. Reference:

- [1].Alexander Schrijver(1998), Theory of Linear and Integer Programming. John Wiley & Sons.
- [2]. Billy E. Gillett(1979),Introduction to operations Research, Tata McGraw-Hill Publishing Company limited,New York.
- [3]. Bland, Robert G. (1977), "New Finite Pivoting Rules for the Simplex Method". Mathematics of Operations Research. 2 (2): 103–107.
- [4]. George B. Dantzig and Mukund N. Thapa.(1997),Linear programming 1: Introduction. Springer-Verlag.
- [5].George B. Dantzig and Mukund N. Thapa. (2003),Linear Programming 2:Theory and Extensions. Springer-Verlag.
- [6]. S.D.Sharma(1999),Operations Research,Kedar Nath Ram Nath & Co.