

Fixed Points of (α, φ_K) - Geraghty contractions in metric like spaces

P. H. Krishna

*Department of Mathematics,
Centurion University of Technology and Management,
Andhra Pradesh , INDIA.
Email: phk.2003@gmail.com.*

P. Mahesh

*Department of Mathematics,
Baba Institute of Technology and Sciences,
Visakhapatnam , INDIA.
Email: mahe2vec@gmail.com.*

V. Prasad

*Department of Mathematics,
Baba Institute of Technology and Sciences,
Visakhapatnam,INDIA.
Email:vangapanduprasad1@gmail.com.*

Abstract- In this paper, we define (α, φ_k) - generalized Geraghty contraction maps in metric-like spaces where α is an admissible function and φ is an altering distance function, and prove the existence of fixed points. Our results extend the some of the known results. We provide examples in support of our results.

keywords: Fixed points;metric-like spaces; $(\alpha-\varphi_k)$ Geraghty contraction.

AMS(2010) Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

I. INTRODUCTION

Banach contraction principle is one of the fundamental results in fixed point theory for which several authors generalized and extended it both in terms of considering a more general contraction condition and a more general ambient space. In 2012, Amini-Harindi[1] proved some fixed point results in metric-like spaces. Aydi, Karipinar [2] proved some fixed point results in metric-like spaces with $(\alpha-\Psi)$ contractions. Recently O.Acar and Ishak Altun [4] proved a fixed point theorem for Ψ k- Geraghty contraction in metric-like spaces. Khan, Swaleh and Sessa [12] studied the existence of fixed points in metric spaces by using altering distance functions.

Definition 1.1 [1] . Let X be a nonempty set. A function $\sigma : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said to be a metric-like space on X if for any x, y in X the following conditions are satisfied :

- (i) $\sigma(x, y) = 0 \Rightarrow x=y$
- (ii) $\sigma(x, y) = \sigma(y, x)$, and
- (iii) $\sigma(x, y) = \sigma(x, z) + \sigma(z, y)$.

The pair (X, σ) is called a metric-like space.

Each metric-like σ on X generates a τ_0 on X which has a base consisting of the family of open σ - balls $B\sigma(x, \epsilon) : x \in X, \epsilon > 0$,

where $B\sigma(x, \epsilon) = \{y \in X : |\sigma(x, y) - \sigma(x, x)| < \epsilon \text{ for all } x \in X \text{ and } \epsilon > 0\}$.

Definition 1.2 [1] (i) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a metric-like space (X, σ) converges to a point $x \in X$ if and only if $\sigma(x, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(x, x_n)$.

(ii) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a metric-like space (X, σ) is called a Cauchy sequence $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(x_n, x_m)$ exists (and is finite).

(iii) A metric-like space (X, σ) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges, with respect to τ_p , to a point $x \in X$

such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(x, x_n) = \sigma(x, x) = \lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(x_n, x_m)$.

Definition 1.3[1] Let (X, σ) is called a metric-like space. A mapping $T: (X, \sigma) \rightarrow (X, \sigma)$ is continuous if for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $\sigma(x_n, x) \rightarrow \sigma(x, x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

we have $\sigma(Tx_n, Tx) \rightarrow \sigma(Tx, Tx)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 1.4 [10]. Let (X, σ) be a metric-like space. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X such that $x_n \rightarrow x$, where $x \in X$ and $\sigma(x, x) = 0$.

Then for all $y \in X$,

we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(x_n, y) = \sigma(x, y)$.

Definition 1.5 ([12]) A function $\varphi: R^+ \rightarrow R^+$, $R^+ = [0, \infty)$ is said to be an *altering distance function* if the following conditions hold:

(i) φ is continuous,

(ii) φ is non-decreasing, and

(iii) $\varphi(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$.

In 1973, Geraghty [8] introduced a new contractive mapping in which the contraction constant was replaced by a function having some specific properties taken from the class of functions S , where $S = \{\beta: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1) / \beta(t_n) \rightarrow 1 \Rightarrow t_n \rightarrow 0\}$

Definition 1.6 . [13] Let $T: X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ be a self map and $\alpha: X \times X \rightarrow R$ be a function . Then T is said to be α – admissible function if $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$ implies $\alpha(Tx, Ty) \geq 1$.

In 2015 Karipinar. E, Alsulami H.H., Noorwali M., [11] proved the following Geraghty theorem in metric-like space.

Theorem 1.7. [11] . Let (X, σ) be a complete metric – like space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. Suppose that there exists $\beta \in S$ such that $\sigma(Tx, Ty) \leq \beta(\sigma(x, y))\sigma(x, y)$ for all x, y in X . Then T has a unique point $u \in X$ with $\sigma(u, u) = 0$.

In 2017 Aydi H., Felhi A., and Sahmim S [3] considered a new type of Geraghty contractions in the class of metric-like spaces and proved the existence of fixed points for the following contractive map.

Theorem 1.8. [3] Let (X, σ) be a complete metric-like space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. Suppose that there exists $\beta \in S$ such that $\sigma(Tx, Ty) \leq \beta(F(x, y))F(x, y)$ for all x, y in X ,

where $F(x, y) = \sigma(x, y) + |\sigma(x, Tx) - \sigma(y, Ty)|$. Then T has a unique fixed point $u \in X$ with $\sigma(u, u) = 0$.

Now, we define φ_k - generalized Geraghty contraction in metric-like spaces.

Definition 1.9. Let (X, σ) be a metric-like space and let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a self map. If there exists $\beta \in S$ such that $(\varphi(\sigma(Tx, Ty))) \leq \beta(\varphi(K(x, y)))\varphi(K(x, y))$

Where $K(x, y) = \max\{\sigma(x, T(x)), \sigma(y, T(y)), \frac{\sigma(x, Tx) + \sigma(y, Ty)}{2}, \sigma(x, y) + |\sigma(x, Tx) - \sigma(y, Ty)|\}$

for all $x, y \in X$ then we call T is a φ_k - generalized Geraghty contraction in metric-like spaces.

Now we define (α, φ_k) - generalized Geraghty contraction maps in metric-like spaces where α is an admissible function and φ is an altering distance function.

Definition 1.10. Let (X, σ) be a metric-like space and let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a self map. If there exists $\beta \in S$ such that $\alpha(x, y) (\varphi(\sigma(Tx, Ty))) \leq \beta(\varphi(K(x, y)))\varphi(K(x, y))$ Where $K(x, y) = \max\{\sigma(x, T(x)), \sigma(y, T(y)),$

$\frac{\sigma(x, Tx) + \sigma(y, Ty)}{2}, \sigma(x, y) + |\sigma(x, Tx) - \sigma(y, Ty)|\}$ for all $x, y \in X$ then we call T is a (α, φ_k) - generalized Geraghty contraction

in metric-like spaces.

Lemma 1.10. [2] Let (X, d) be metric space. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X such that $d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If $\{x_n\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence then there exist an $\epsilon > 0$ and sequences of positive integers $\{m(k)\}$ and $\{n(k)\}$ with $n(k) > m(k) > k$ and

$d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) \geq \epsilon$. For each $k > 0$, corresponding to $m(k)$, we can choose $n(k)$ to be the smallest integer such that $d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) \geq \epsilon$ and $d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)+1}) < \epsilon$. It can be shown that the following identities are satisfied.

- (i) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)}) = \epsilon$
- (ii) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)+1}) = \epsilon$,
- (iii) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}) = \epsilon$,
- (iv) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)+1}) = \epsilon$.

Now, we prove the existence of fixed points of (α, φ_k) generalized Geraghty contraction maps in metric-like spaces .

2. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 2.1. . Let (X, σ) be a complete metric-like space. Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a (α, φ_k) generalized Geraghty contraction. Suppose that

- (i) T is α admissible;
- (ii) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$;
- (iii) T is continuous.

Then there exists a $u \in X$ such that $\alpha(u; u) = 0$. Assume that in addition that (H1) if $\sigma(x, x) = 0$ for some x in X , then $\alpha(x; x) \geq 1$. Then such u is a fixed point of T .

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ be such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$. We define $\{x_n\}$ in X by $x_n = Tx_{n-1}$ for each n .

If $x_n = x_{n+1}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $x_n = Tx_n$ and hence x_n is a fixed point of T . Hence, without loss of generality, we assume that $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(i) Since T is α admissible, we have

$$\alpha(x_0, x_1) = \alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1 \text{ implies } \alpha(Tx_0, Tx_1) = \alpha(x_1, x_2) \geq 1.$$

By mathematical induction,

it is easy to see that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We consider

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\sigma(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})) &= \varphi(\sigma(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1})) \\ &\leq \alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \varphi(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) \\ &\leq \beta(\varphi(K(x_n, x_{n+1}))) (\varphi(K(x_n, x_{n+1}))) \end{aligned} \tag{2.1.1}$$

Now

$$K(x_n, x_{n+1}) = \max\{ \sigma(x_n, Tx_n), \sigma(x_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}), \frac{\sigma(x_n, Tx_n) + \sigma(x_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1})}{2}, \sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}) + |\sigma(x_n, Tx_n) - \sigma(x_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1})| \}$$

$$K(x_n, x_{n+1}) = \max\{ \sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}), \sigma(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), \frac{\sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \sigma(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})}{2}, \sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}) + |\sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}) - \sigma(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})| \}$$

Suppose that $\sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \sigma(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})$

$$K(x_n, x_{n+1}) = \max\{ \sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}), \sigma(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), \frac{\sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \sigma(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})}{2}, \sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}) + (\sigma(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) - \sigma(x_n, x_{n+1})) \}$$

Suppose that $\sigma(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq \sigma(x_n, x_{n+1})$

$$K(x_n, x_{n+1}) = \max\{ \sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}), \sigma(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), \frac{\sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \sigma(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})}{2}, \sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}) + (\sigma(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) - \sigma(x_n, x_{n+1})) \}$$

$$K(x_n, x_{n+1}) = \max\{ \sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}), \sigma(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \}$$

If $\max\{ \sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}), \sigma(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \} = \sigma(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})$

then from (2.1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\sigma(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})) &\leq \beta(\varphi(K(x_n, x_{n+1}))) (\varphi(K(x_n, x_{n+1}))) \\ &\leq \beta(\varphi(K(x_n, x_{n+1}))) (\varphi(\sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}))) \end{aligned}$$

$$< \varphi(\sigma(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})), \text{ a contradiction.}$$

So that we have $\max\{\sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}), \sigma(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})\} = \sigma(x_n, x_{n+1})$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\sigma(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})) &\leq \beta(\varphi(K(x_n, x_{n+1})))\varphi(K(x_n, x_{n+1})) \\ &< \varphi(\sigma(x_n, x_{n+1})) \end{aligned} \text{ for all } n.$$

Thus it follows that $\{\varphi(\sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}))\}$ is a decreasing sequence of non negative reals and so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(\sigma(x_n, x_{n+1})) \text{ exists and it is r(say). i.e., } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(\sigma(x_n, x_{n+1})) = r \geq 0.$$

We now show that $r = 0$.

If $r > 0$, then from (2.1.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\sigma(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})) &\leq \varphi(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1})) \\ &\leq \beta(\varphi(K(x_n, x_{n+1}))) \varphi(K(x_n, x_{n+1})) \\ &\leq \beta(\varphi(K(x_n, x_{n+1}))) \varphi(\sigma(x_n, x_{n+1})), \text{ and hence} \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\varphi(\sigma(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}))}{\varphi(\sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}))} \leq \beta(\varphi(K(x_n, x_{n+1}))) < 1 \text{ for each } n \geq 1.$$

Now on letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(\sigma(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}))}{\varphi(\sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}))} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta(\varphi(K(x_n, x_{n+1}))) \leq 1$$

So that $\beta(\varphi(K(x_n, x_{n+1}))) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

This implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\varphi(K(x_n, x_{n+1}))) = 0$.

Since $\varphi(\sigma(x_n, x_{n+1})) \leq \varphi(K(x_n, x_{n+1}))$ for all n , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\varphi(\sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}))) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\varphi(K(x_n, x_{n+1}))) = 0.$$

Hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(\sigma(x_n, x_{n+1})) = 0$. i.e., $r = 0$.

Suppose that $\{x\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence. Then by Lemma 1.11,

There exist an $\epsilon < 0$ and sequences of positive integers $\{m(k)\}$ and $\{n(k)\}$ with $m(k) > n(k) > k$ and (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) of Lemma 1.11 hold.

By taking $x = x_{n(k)}$, $y = x_{m(k)-1}$ in (2.1.1), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\sigma(x_{n(k)+1}, x_{m(k)})) &= \varphi(\sigma(T(x_{n(k)}), Tx_{m(k)-1})) \\ &\leq \beta\left(\varphi\left(K(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)-1})\right)\right)\varphi\left(K(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)-1})\right) \end{aligned}$$

(2.1.2)

Where

$$\begin{aligned} K(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}) &= \max\{\sigma(x_{n(k)}, Tx_{n(k)}), \sigma(x_{m(k)-1}, Tx_{m(k)-1}), \\ &\frac{\sigma(x_{n(k)}, Tx_{n(k)}) + \sigma(x_{m(k)-1}, Tx_{m(k)-1})}{2} \sigma(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}) + |\sigma(x_{n(k)}, Tx_{n(k)}) \\ &- \sigma(x_{m(k)-1}, Tx_{m(k)-1})|\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} K(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}) &= \max\{\sigma(x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)+1}), \sigma(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}), \\ &\frac{\sigma(x_{n(k)}, Tx_{n(k)+1}) + \sigma(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)})}{2}, \sigma(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}) + |\sigma(x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)+1}) \\ &- \sigma(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)})|\} \end{aligned}$$

On letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ and from the Lemma 1.11 we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}) = \max\{0, 0, 0, \epsilon + 0 - 0\} = \epsilon.$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\sigma(x_{n(k)+1}, x_{m(k)})) &\leq \beta(\varphi(K(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}))) \varphi(K(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)-1})) \\ &\leq \beta(\varphi(K(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}))) \varphi(K(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)-1})) \\ &\leq \beta(\varphi(K(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}))) \varphi(\sigma(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)-1})) \end{aligned}$$

And hence

$$\frac{\varphi(\sigma(x_{n(k)+1}, x_{m(k)}))}{\varphi(\sigma(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}))} \leq \beta(\varphi(K(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}))) < 1.$$

On letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ and from the Lemma 1.11, we get

$$1 = \frac{\varphi(\epsilon)}{\varphi(\epsilon)} \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \beta(\varphi(K(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}))) \leq 1$$

So that $\beta(\varphi(K(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}))) \rightarrow 1$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Since $\beta \in S$, $\varphi(K(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)-1})) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. i. e., $\varphi(\epsilon) = 0$,

Since φ is continuous. Hence it follows that $\epsilon = 0$, a contradiction.

Therefore $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X , and since X is complete metric-like space, there exists $u \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = u.$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(x_n, u) = \sigma(u, u) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(x_n, x_m) = 0. \tag{2.1.3}$$

Now, we show that u is a fixed point of T .

First we assume that (iii) hold. i.e., T is continuous.

In this case, we have

$$u = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n(x_0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^{n+1}(x_0) = T\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n(x_0)\right) = T(u). \text{ Therefore } u \text{ is a fixed point of } T \text{ in } X.$$

Theorem 2.2. Let (X, d) be a complete metric-like space, $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow R$ be a function and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a (α, φ_K) generalized Geraghty contraction map. Suppose that the following conditions hold

- (i) T is α -admissible;
- (ii) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$ and set $x_n = Tx_{n-1}$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$
- (iii) If $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all n and $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ then there exists a sub-sequence $\{x_{n(k)}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $\alpha(x_{n(k)}, x) \geq 1$ for all k

Then T has a fixed point u in X .

Proof. From the proof of the theorem 2.1, we have the sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by $\{x_{n+1}\} = Tx_n$ for all $n \geq 0$ is a Cauchy in (X, σ) and converges to some $u \in X$. Also 2.1.3 holds, so is Cauchy sequence in (X, σ) and converges to some $u \in X$.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(x_n(k) + 1, Tu) = \sigma(u, Tu).$$

Now we show that $Tu = u$

Suppose that $Tu \neq u$. i.e., $\sigma(Tu, u) > 0$.

From condition (iii), we have that there exists a sub-sequence $\{x_{n(k)}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $\alpha(x_{n(k)}, u) \geq 1$ for all k . consider

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(x_n(k) + 1, Tu) &\leq \alpha(x_n(k), Tu) \sigma(Tx_n(k), Tu) \\ &\leq \beta(\varphi(K(x_n(k), u))) \varphi(K(x_n(k), u)) \end{aligned} \tag{2.2.1}$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} K(x_n(k), u) &= \max\{\sigma(x_n, Tx_n), \sigma(u, Tu), \sigma(x_n, u) + |\sigma(x_n, Tx_n) - \sigma(u, Tu)|\} \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K(x_n(k), u) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max\{\sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}), \sigma(u, Tu), \sigma(x_n, u) + |\sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}) - \sigma(u, Tu)|\} \\ &= \sigma(u, Tu) \end{aligned}$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.2.1)

$$\sigma(u, Tu) \leq \beta \left(\varphi(K(x_n(k), u)) \right) \varphi(\sigma(u, Tu)) < \sigma(u, Tu), \text{ which is contradiction. so that } u \text{ is a fixed point of } T.$$

3. COROLLARIES AND EXAMPLES

In the theorem 2.1, if φ_K is the identity map we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.1. Let (X, σ) be a complete metric-like space. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a α generalized Geraghty contraction. Suppose that

- (i) T is α admissible;
- (ii) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$
- (iii) T is continuous.

Then there exists a $u \in X$ such that $\sigma(u; u) = 0$.

Proof: Assume that in addition that (H1)

if $\sigma(x, x) = 0$ for some $x \in X$, then $\alpha(x, x) \geq 1$.

Then such u is a fixed point of T .

In the theorem 2.1, if $\alpha = 1$ is the identity map we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. Let (X, σ) be a complete metric-like space. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a (φ) generalized Geraghty contraction. Suppose that

- (i) T is α admissible;
- (ii) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$
- (ii) T is continuous.

Then there exists a $u \in X$ such that $\alpha(u; u) = 0$. Assume that in addition that (H1) if $\sigma(x, x) = 0$ for some $x \in X$, then $\alpha(x; x) \geq 1$. Then such u is a fixed point of T .

The following is an example in support of the theorem 2.1.

Example:3.3

. Let $X = [0, \infty)$ and $\sigma(x, y) = x + y$. Then (X, σ) is a complete metric-like space.

$$\text{We define } T: X \times X \text{ by } T(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x^2}{2} & \text{if } x \in [0, 1] \\ 6x - \frac{11}{2} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We define $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by $\varphi(t) = \frac{t}{2}$ and

$$\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty) \text{ as } \alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = \frac{3}{4}, y = \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Now we verify the inequality 2.1.1 when $x = \frac{3}{4}, y = \frac{1}{2}$

$$\alpha \left(\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{2} \right) \varphi \left(\sigma \left(T \frac{3}{4}, T \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) = \varphi \left(\frac{12}{31} \right) = \frac{13}{64}$$

$$K \left(\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{53}{32}, \varphi \left(K \left(\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) = \left(\frac{53}{64} \right), \beta \left(\varphi \left(K \left(\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) \right) = \frac{64}{117}$$

$$\alpha \left(\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{2} \right) \varphi \left(\sigma \left(T \frac{3}{4}, T \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) = \frac{13}{64} \leq \left(\frac{54}{117} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{53}{64} \right) = \beta \left(\varphi \left(K \left(\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) \right) \cdot \varphi \left(K \left(\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{2} \right) \right).$$

Therefore T satisfy all the conditions of the hypothesis Theorem 2.1 and T has a unique fixed point 0.

References

- [1]. A. Amini-Harindi, Metric-like spaces, partial metric spaces and fixed points. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2012 (2012), 204 , 10 pages.
- [2]. Aydi. H, and Karapinar. E., New Geraghty type contractions on metric-like spaces, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., 2017 (10), 780-788.
- [3]. Aydi. H, Felhi A and Sahmim S., Fixed point results for generalized $\alpha - \varphi$ -contractions in metric-like spaces and Applications. Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, 2015 , 15 1-15.
- [4] Acar. O and Ishan Altun; A Fixed point theorem for $_F$ - Geraghty contraction on metric-like spaces. Fasciculi Mathematica, 2017, Nr 59, 5-12.
- [5] G. V. R. Babu and P. D. Sailaja. A $_F$ -xed point theorem of generalized weak contractive maps in orbitally complete metric spaces. Thai Journal of Mathematics, 2011, 9(1): 1-10.
- [6] Babu, G. V. R., Sarma, K. K. M. and Krishna, P. H., Fixed points of $_F$ -weak Geraghty contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, J. of Adv. Res. in Pure Math., 6(4) 2014, 9 - 23.
- [7] Babu, G. V. R., Sarma, K. K. M. and Krishna, P. H., Necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of fixed points of weak generalized Geraghty contractions, Int. J. Mathematics and Scientific computing, 5(1) 2015, 31 - 38.
- [8] M. A. Geraghty. On contractive maps. proc. of Amer. Math. soc., 1973, 40: 604-608.
- [9] R. Kannan. Some results on $_F$ -xed points. Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., 1968, 60:71-76.
- [10] Karapinar E. and P. Salimi., Dislocated metric space to metric spaces with some $_F$ fixed point theorems. Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2013(2013): 222 , 19 pages.
- [11] Karapinar E, Alsulami H. H, and Noorwali M., Some extensions for Geraghty type contractive mappings, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2015(2015) , 22 pages .
- [12] M. S. Khan, M. Swaleh and S. Sessa. Fixed point theorems by altering distances between the points. Bull, Aust, Math. Soc., 1984, 30: 205-212.
- [13] Samet.B, Vetro.C and Vetro.P, Fixed point theorems for α - Ψ contractive type mappings, Nonlinear Anal., 75.(2012), 2154-2165.
- [14] K. P. R. Sastry, G. V. R. Babu, K. K. M. Sarma and P. H. Krishna. Fixed points of Geraghty contractions in partially ordered metric spaces under the influence of altering distances. IJMA 2014 5(10): 185-195.