

HEART DISEASES ANALYSIS THROUGH ECG SIGNAL PROCESSING: A REVIEW

S. Praveena

ECE Dept, M.G.I.T,
Hyderabad

Abstract: ECG signal analysis is more important to diagnose different heart related diseases effectively with reduced manual effort. This paper outlines a brief survey over different ECG analysis techniques to perform the effective disease detection among the heart related diseases. Mainly the complete approaches are categorized as Preprocessing techniques and feature techniques and classification techniques. The main objective of preprocessing techniques is to make the ECG signal compatible to the detection system. Feature extraction techniques aims at efficient feature extraction to achieve efficient detection accuracy and further the classification techniques aims to make the system robust. Different datasets are tested over the available approaches to test the performance and performance evaluation is done through Accuracy measurement.

Keywords: ECG, Cardiovascular Diseases, Cardiac Arrhythmia, Feature Extraction, MIT-BIH.

1. INTRODUCTION

In general, the diagnosis of heart related issues such as the proper or malfunctioning are detected through the Electrocardiogram (ECG) signal [1-5]. An ECG signal characterizes the electrical activities of a heart, which are recorded through several electrodes attached to the skin. This quasi-periodic signal contains valuable information on the functioning of a heart and can be used for the detection of heart disease. The automatic detection of arrhythmia and distinguishing them from normal heart rhythms could be very useful for an early detection of heart disease, especially in real time.

Various approaches are proposed in earlier to perform automatic arrhythmia

detection based on the characteristics of ECG signal. Since the automatic detection is a computer aided task, provision of most significant features of ECG is very important by which the accurate diagnosis is possible. The earlier approaches focused on various aspects like some focused on preprocessing, some on feature extraction and some on learning techniques. This paper provides a complete literature survey about the earlier developed approaches.

Rest of the paper is organized as follows; Section II gives the basic details of ECG signal. Section III illustrates the details of earlier proposed approaches and section IV concludes the paper.

II. LITERATURE SURVEY

Based on the above discussion, the automatic detection of Cardiac Arrhythmia involves the ECG signal preprocessing, Feature Extraction and Classification phases. The preprocessing phase involves removing the unwanted noises and interferences in the ECG signal. The feature extraction phase involves the extraction of significant features which represents the detailed analysis of ECG and further the classification phase involves the detection of type of arrhythmia based on the features of ECG. Here the literature survey is also carried out in the same fashion. I.e., initially, the earlier proposed approaches focused on the removal of noise are illustrated and followed by the approaches focused on feature extraction and finally the approaches belong to classification. A simple block diagram for the automatic detection of arrhythmia through ECG signal processing is represented in figure.3.

A. Preprocessing

Since real ECG signals are noisy (i.e. white and mains noise) and contaminated with artefacts (i.e. electromyography signals due to breathing and chest movement) the first step

generally consists of band pass filtering the measured signals.

Among all proposals for reducing noise in ECG signals, the simplest and most widely used is the implementation of recursive digital filters of the finite impulse response (FIR) [9], [10], which was made computationally possible with the advance in microcontrollers and microprocessors. Since these filters allow quick and easy application of reject band filter, they works well for the attenuation of known frequency bands like the noise added due to the electrical network (frequency range is about 50-60 Hz). However the main problem is that the frequency of the noise is not known always. This problem is solved by designing the adaptive filters for various frequencies of the signal. However the indiscriminating use of filters, i.e., low-pass and high pass filters distorts the signal's morphological attributes and makes them as unsuitable for the CA diagnosis. The architectures of [11-13] applied adaptive filters for noise removal from the ECG signal. Least Mean Square (LMS) Filter is an adaptive which has an ability to remove the unknown frequencies. Ravina [14] used the LMS filter to de-noise the ECG signal in an adaptive fashion. However, this technique has constraints and does not offer great advantages over the FIR digital filters.

In the last decade, many methods based on wavelet transforms have been employed to remove noise, since they preserve ECG signal properties avoiding loss of its important physiological details and are simple from a computational point of view [15-22]. Sayadi and Shamsollahi [18] proposed a modification of the wavelet transform called the multi-adaptive bionic wavelet transform and it was applied to reduce noise and baseline variation of the ECG signal. This method presented superior results when compared to the ones based on the traditional wavelet transform. Chen et al. [19] use a wavelet denoising stage based on a discrete wavelet transform, with three levels of decomposition, as the first processing stage for real-time QRS complex detection. Thus a wavelet denoising operation appears to be suitable for on-line operation while maintaining the ECG features for further processing stages. In [21], Savitzky-Golay filter and Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT) are being used to de-noise

ECG signal and a comparison is provided between two methods.

Some more approaches are also proposed including nonlinear Bayesian filters [23], extended Kalman filtering [24] to remove the noise from the ECG and these approaches measured the performance in terms of signal to noise ratio. Lannoy et. al., [25] used two median filters to remove the baseline wander. One median filter of 200-ms width to remove QRS complexes and P-waves and other of 600 ms width to remove T-waves. Then the resulting signal is filtered again with 12-tap, low-pass FIR filter with 3-dB point at 35 Hz. A similar method is accomplished in [26-28] for the removal noises in ECG. Bazi et. al., [29] proposed the use of high pass filter for noise artifacts and a notch filter for power network noise. Lin and Yang [30] uses a second order low pass filter and two median filters. In [31], the signal is subtracted by its mean and then normalized. Escalona-Moran et al. [32] used the raw wave *i.e.*, no preprocessing is applied.

B. Feature Extraction

Most of the research work focused on the extraction of RR interval. The RR interval is a time period between two successive R peaks. With exception of patients that utilize a pacemaker, the variations perceived in the width of the RR interval are correlated with the variations in the morphology of the curve, frequently provoked by arrhythmias [36]. Thus, the features in the RR interval have a great capacity to discriminate the types of heartbeats and some authors have based their methods only on using the RR interval features [33-35].

Not only the RR interval features, some approaches focused on the extraction of other features also. Among those QRS interval, or the duration of the QRS complex is the most utilized feature. In [37] the ECG signal is denoised to remove the artifacts and analyzed using Wavelet Transform to detect the QRS complex and arrhythmia. A similar process for arrhythmia detection is carried out in [38] through the detection of QRS complex. ECG data was filtered out first and after removing artifacts, QRS complexes were identified. For each QRS complex its R-peak, slope, sharpness and duration were calculated. Along with these approaches, a new approach is developed in [39] for intuitive

and robust real time QRS detection based on the physiological characteristics of the electrocardiogram waveform. The proposed algorithm finds the QRS complex based on the dual criteria of the amplitude and duration of QRS complex. It consists of simple operations, such as a finite impulse response filter, differentiation or thresholding without complex and computational operations like a wavelet transformation. Along with these techniques [40-43] are also focused on the extraction of ECG signal feature alone and combined. In [44], a new method based on the continuous wavelet transform is described in order to detect the QRS, P and T waves. QRS, P and T waves may be distinguished from noise, baseline drift or irregular heartbeats. Firstly, our algorithm is validated using fifty 12 leads ECG samples from the CinC collection. The samples have been chosen in the "acceptable records" list given by Physionet. The detection and the duration delineation of the QRS, P and T waves given by [44] are compared to expert physician results.

Aiming at reducing the dimension of the feature vector, various techniques have been applied directly on the samples that represent the heartbeat (in the neighborhood of the R peak) as principal component analysis (PCA) [46-48], [84, 85] or independent component analysis (ICA) [49, 50], [85], or the combination of PCA and ICA [51, 52], [85] in which new coefficients are extracted to represent the heartbeat. Dhani [52] presents a comparative study between the use of PCA and ICA to reduce the noise and artifacts of the ECG signal and showed that PCA is a better technique to reduce noise, while ICA is better one to extract features. The ICA technique enables statistically separate individual sources from a mixing signal. The ECG is a mix of several action potentials and each action potential could be strongly related to an arrhythmia class. The rationale behind ICA for ECG heartbeat classification is to separate the action potentials sources as well as the noise sources. The PCA technique separates the sources according to the energy contribution to the signal.

Another technique based on PCA, the Kernel Principal Component Analysis (KPCA), was used by Devy et al. [53]. In that work, a comparison between PCA and KPCA was performed and it was concluded that

KPCA is superior to the PCA technique for classifying heartbeats from the ECG signal. According to Kallas et al. [54], KPCA performs better, due to its nonlinear structure. Asl et al. [55] used Generalized Discriminant Analysis (GDA) to reduce the dimensions of the features of the heartbeat interval type to classify rhythmic arrhythmias. However, the authors did not take care to separate the heartbeats of the same patient used during training and testing (intra-patient paradigm), which is a serious concern discussed further. The inter-patient paradigm should be considered for a more realistic scenario.

Although various techniques have been considered, most of the studies presented in literature use wavelet transform and researchers claim that this is the best method for extracting features from the ECG signal [57, 58]. Sani et al., [59] has proposed a robust ECG feature extraction technique suitable for mobile devices by extracting only 200 samples between R-R intervals as equivalent R-T interval using Pan Tompkins algorithm at preprocessing stage. The discrete wavelet transform (DWT) of R-T interval samples are calculated and the statistical parameters of wavelet coefficients such as mean, median, standard deviation, maximum, minimum, energy and entropy are used as a time-frequency domain feature. Amrutha devi [60] focused on the suggested Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT) in processing ECG recordings and also to extract certain attributes. The process of feature extraction and dimensionality reduction can be effectively performed using Principal Component Analysis (PCA). Besides DWT, continuous wavelet transform (CWT) has also been used to extract features from the ECG signals [61], since it overcomes some of the DWT drawbacks, such as the coarse-ness of the representation and instability. [62] Presents a classification method using Support Vector Machine (SVM) algorithm.

C. Classification

Once the set of features has been defined from the heartbeats, models can be built from these data using artificial intelligence algorithms from machine learning and data mining domains [64-66] for arrhythmia heartbeat classification. The four most popular algorithms employed for this task and found in the literature are: support

vector machines (SVM) [54] [62], artificial neural networks (ANN) [67], [71], [75], [78] and linear discriminant (LD) [63], and Reservoir Computing with Logistic Regression (RC) [68]. Since the most of the research work is carried out through the ANN and SVM techniques the following section illustrates the proposed approaches based on those three techniques.

The ANN architectures mostly used for arrhythmia classification are Multilayer Perceptrons (MLP) and Probabilistic Neural Networks (PNN). According to Yu and Chen [69], models constructed with PNN are computationally more robust and efficient than the traditional MLP. A feed forward multilayer neural network (NN) with error back-propagation (BP) [70] learning algorithm was used as an automated ECG classifier to investigate the possibility of recognizing ischemic heart disease from normal ECG signals. The proposed ECG classification in [72] is supervised by ANN. The ECG waveform gives the almost all information about activity of the heart, which is depending on the electrical activity of the heart. In [72] only five features of ECG signal P, Q, R, S, T are focused. This is achieved by extracting the various features and duration of ECG waveform P-wave, PR segment, PR interval, QRS Complex, ST segment, T-wave, ST-interval, QTC and QRS voltage. Mitra et.al., [73] attempts correlation-based feature selection (CFS) with linear forward selection search. For classification, [73] used incremental back propagation neural network (IBPLN), and Levenberg-Marquardt (LM) [76] classification tested on UCI data base. Some more approaches are proposed by combining ANN with other algorithms. According to Osowski et. al., [74], a combination of classifiers not only reduces the overall error in the neural networks, but also reduces the incidence of false negatives.

SVM is found to be a most popular and efficient classifier for the classification of ECG signals to detect cardiac arrhythmias. A novel life-threatening arrhythmias detection algorithm is presented in [77] by combining the SVM with previously proposed ECG parameters A total of 13 parameters were computed accounting for temporal (morphological), spectral, and complexity features of the ECG signal. Nitin aji bhaskar [78] focused to classify an ECG signal as

healthy subject or subject diagnosed with Myocardial Infarction (MI) using Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) and SVM (Support Vector Machine). LIBSVM is utilized for the classification with SVM and back propagation artificial neural networks with varying hidden layers and nodes are also implemented for performance analysis. Qin et.al., [79] combined the DWT with SVM to perform arrhythmia beat classification.

III. CONCLUSION

This paper focused on the earlier approaches developed with the aim of accurate diagnosis of various CAs through ECG signal. Since the ECG signal carries the most significant information of the status of heart, i.e., proper or malfunctioning, analysis of the entire characteristics of ECG signal gives better results. For this purpose the entire system is divided into three phases such as preprocessing, feature extraction and classification. Initially the approaches which are focused towards the preprocessing of ECG signal are discussed. All these approaches aimed to remove the unwanted noise added in the ECG signal. Further the approaches mainly focused on the feature extraction are discussed. Finally the approaches mainly focused in the optimization of classification are discussed. These methods include the machine learning algorithms, clustering algorithms and data mining approaches etc.

REFERENCES

- [1] Harikrishnan S, Leeder S, Huffman M, Jeemon P, Prabhakaran D, A Race against Time: The Challenge of Cardiovascular Disease in Developing Economies. 2nd ed. New Delhi, India: New Delhi Centre for Chronic Disease Control; 2014.
- [2] Xavier D, Pais P, Devereaux PJ, Xie C, Prabhakaran D, Reddy KS, Gupta R, Joshi P, Kerkar P, Thanikachalam S, Haridas KK, Jaison TM, Naik S, Maity AK, Yusuf S; CREATE registry investigators. Treatment and outcomes of acute coronary syndromes in India (CREATE): a prospective analysis of registry data. *Lancet.*, 371, 2008, pp: 1435–1442.
- [3] A.S. Adabag, G. Peterson, F.S. Apple, J. Titus, R. King, R.V. Luepker, “Etiology of sudden death in the community: results of

anatomic, metabolic, and genetic evaluation”, *Am. Heart. J.* 159, 2010, pp: 33–39.

[4] J.J. Goldberger, A.E. Buxton, M. Cain, O. Costantini, D.V. Exner, B.P. Knight, D.Lloyd-Jones, A.H. Kadish, B. Lee, A. Moss, R. Myerburg, J. Olgin, R. Passman, D.Rosenbaum, W. Stevenson, W. Zareba, D.P. Zipes, “Risk stratification for arrhythmic sudden cardiac death: identifying the roadblocks”, *Circulation*, 123, 2011, pp: 2423–2430.

[5] M. Velic, I. Padavic, S. Car, “Computer aided ECG Analysis – State of the Art and Upcoming Challenges”, 2013,

[6] M.S. Spach, J.M. Kootsey, “The nature of electrical propagation in cardiac muscle”, *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ.Physiol*, 1983, pp: 3–22.

[7] Peter Kovacs, “ECG Signal Generator based on Geometrical Features”, *Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest., Sect. Comp.* 37, 2012, pp: 247-260.

[8] Macfarlane PW, Lawrie TDV, *Comprehensive Electrocardiology: Theory and Practice in Health and Disease*, Pergamon Press, New York, 1st ed., Vols. 1, 2, and 3, 1989, pp. 1785.

[9] K sravan kumar, P Rajesh Kumar, “Removal of noise from electrocardiogram using digital FIR and IIR filters with various methods”, *International Conference on Communications and Signal Processing (ICCSP)*, 2015.

[10] S. Sundar, “Filtering Noise from Electrocardiogram using FIR filter with CSD Coefficients”, *International conference on Innovations in Information, Embedded and Communication Systems*, 2014.

[11] Mohammad Zia, “Denoising ECG Signals Using Transform Domain Adaptive Filtering Technique”, *Annual IEEE India Conference (INDICON)*, 2009.

[12] J Jenitta, “Denoising of ECG signal based on improved adaptive filter with EMD and EEMD”, *IEEE Conference on Information & Communication Technologies (ICT)*, 2013.

[13] Chinmay Chandrakar, Kowar, “Denoising ECG Signals Using Adaptive Filter Algorithm”, *International Journal of Soft Computing and Engineering (IJSCE)*, Vol 2, Issue 1, March 2012.

[14] Ravina Bhatia , Supriya Goelb , Gurjit Kaurc and Pradeep Tomard, “Denoising of ECG using Adaptive Filter Algorithm”, *International Journal of Control Theory and Applications*, Vol 9, Number 46, 2016.

[15] B.N. Singh, A.K. Tiwari, “Optimal selection of wavelet basis function applied to ECG signal denoising”, *Digit. Signal Process*, Vol.16, Issue.3, 2006, pp:275–287.

[16] S.-W. Chen, H.-C. Chen, H.-L. Chan, “A real-time QRS detection method based on moving-averaging incorporating with wavelet denoising”, *Comput. Method Programs Biomed.* Vol.82, 2006, pp: 187–195.

[17] A.E. Zadeh, A. Khazae, V. Ranaee, “Classification of the electrocardiogram signals using supervised classifiers and efficient features”, *Comput. Method Programs Biomed*, Vol.99, 2010, pp: 179–194.

[18] O. Sayadi, M.B. Shamsollahi, “Multi adaptive bionic wavelet transform: application to ECG denoising and baseline wandering reduction”, *EURASIP J. Adv. Signal Process*, Vol.14, 2007, pp: 1–11.

[19] S.W. Chen, H.C. Chen, H.L. Chan, “A real-time QRS detection method based on moving-averaging incorporating with wavelet denoising”, *Comput. Meth. Prog. Bio*, Vol.82, 2006, pp: 187–195.

[20] Mounaim Aqil, “ECG Signal Denoising by Discrete Wavelet Transform”, *iJOE*, Vol. 13, No. 9, 2017.

[21] Harjeet Kaur, “ECG Signal Denoising with Savitzky-Golay Filter and Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT)”, *International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology (IJETT)*, Vol36 Number 5, June 2016.

[22] SaifEddine Hadji, “Wavelet-based Performance in Denoising ECG Signal”, *ICSPS* 2016.

[23] R. Sameni, M.B. Shamsollahi, C. Jutten, G.D. Clifford, “A non-linear Bayesian filtering framework for ECG denoising”, *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, 2007, pp: 2172–2185.

[24] O. Sayadi, M. Shamsollahi, “ECG Denoising and Compression using A Modified Extended Kalman Filter Structure”, *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, 2008, pp: 2240-2248.

- [25] G. de Lannoy, D. Francois, J. Delbeke, M. Verleysen, “Weighted conditional random fields for supervised inter-patient heartbeat classification”, *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, 2012, pp: 241–247.
- [26] T. Mar, S. Zauneder, J.P. Martínez, M. Llamedo, R. Poll, “Optimization of ECG classification by means of feature selection”, *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, 2011, pp: 2168–2177.
- [27] Z. Zhang, J. Dong, X. Luo, K.-S. Choi, X. Wu, “Heartbeat classification using disease-specific feature selection”, *Comput. Biol. Med.*, Vol 46, 2014, pp: 79–89.
- [28] Z. Zhang, X. Luo, “Heartbeat classification using decision level fusion”, *Biomed. Eng. Lett.*, 2014, pp: 388–395.
- [29] Y. Bazi, N. Alajlan, H. AlHichri, S. Malek, “Domain adaptation methods for ECG classification”, *International Conference on Computer Medical Applications (ICCMA)*, 2013, pp: 1–4.
- [30] C.-C. Lin, C.-M. Yang, “Heartbeat classification using normalized RR intervals and morphological features”, *Math. Problem Eng.*, 2014, pp: 1–11.
- [31] H. Huang, J. Liu, Q. Zhu, R. Wang, G. Hu, “A new hierarchical method for inter-patient heartbeat classification using random projections and RR intervals”, *Biomed. Eng.*, 2014, pp: 1–26.
- [32] M.A. Escalona-Moran, M.C. Soriano, I. Fischer, C.R. Mirasso, “Electrocardiogram classification using reservoir computing with logistic regression”, *IEEE J. Biomed. Health Inform.* 19(3) (2015) 892–898.
- [33] Chandrakar Kamath, “A Novel Approach to Arrhythmia Classification Using RR Interval And Teager Energy”, *Journal of Engineering Science and Technology* Vol. 7, No. 6, 2012, pp: 744 – 755.
- [34] Tspouras MG, “An arrhythmia classification system based on the RR-interval signal”, *Artificial Intelligence in Medicine*, Volume 33, Issue 3, March 2005, pp: 237-250.
- [35] R.G. Kumar, Y.S. Kumara swamy, “Investigation and classification of ECG beat using input output additional weighted feed forward neural network”, *International Conference on Signal Processing, Image Processing & Pattern Recognition (ICSIPR)*, 2013, pp: 200–205.
- [36] G.D. Clifford, F. Azuaje, P. McSharry, “Advanced Methods and Tools for ECG Data Analysis”, 1st ed., Artech House Publishers, 2006.
- [37] Kaur, “ECG Signal Analysis and Arrhythmia Detection using Wavelet Transform”, *Journal of The Institution of Engineers*, Volume 97, Issue 4, 2016, pp: 499–507.
- [38] Yusuf Khan, “Arrhythmia detection based on derivative analysis of QRS complex”, *3rd International Conference on Signal Processing and Integrated Networks (SPIN)*, 2016.
- [39] Jinkwon Kim, Hangsik Shin, “Simple and Robust Real time QRS Detection Algorithm Based on Spatiotemporal Characteristic of the QRS Complex”, *Research article, PLOS ONE*, March 4, 2016.
- [40] A. Peterkova, M. Stremy, “The raw ECG signal processing and the detection of QRS complex”, *IEEE European Modeling Symposium*, 2015.
- [41] Tekeste, “Adaptive ECG interval extraction”, *IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems (ISCAS)*, 2015.
- [42] Deboleena Sadhukhan, “R-peak detection algorithm for ECG using double difference and RR interval processing”, *Procedia Technology*, 2012, pp: 873 – 877.
- [43] Hussain A. Jaber AL-Ziarjawey and Ilyas Çankaya, “Heart Rate Monitoring and PQRST Detection Based on Graphical User Interface with Matlab”, *International Journal of Information and Electronics Engineering*, Vol. 5, No. 4, July 2015.
- [44] Maxime Yochum, Charlotte Renaud, Sabir Jacquir, “Automatic detection of P, QRS and T patterns in 12 leads ECG signal based on CWT”, *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*, Elsevier, 2016.
- [45] C. Wen, T.-C. Lin, K.-C. Chang, C.-H. Huang, “Classification of ECG complexes using self-organizing CMAC”, *Measurement*, Vol 42, issue 3, 2009, pp: 399–407.
- [46] Nadi Sadr, “A fast approximation method for principal component analysis applied to ECG derived respiration for OSA detection”,

IEEE 38th Annual International Conference of the Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC), 2016.

[47] Jukka A Lipponen¹ and Mika P Tarvainen, “Principal component model for maternal ECG extraction in fetal QRS detection”, *Physiological Measurement*, Volume 35, Number 8, 2014.

[48] Daniel Raine, “Principal component analysis of atrial fibrillation: Inclusion of posterior ECG leads does not improve correlation with left atrial activity”, *Med Eng Phys.* Feb., Vol 37, issue 2, 2015, pp: 251–255.

[49] M. Sarfraz, A.A. Khan, F.F. Li, “Using independent component analysis to obtain feature space for reliable ECG arrhythmia classification”, *IEEE International Conference on Bioinformatics and Biomedicine (BIBM)*, 2014, pp: 62–67.

[50] Sarfraz, “Role of independent component Analysis in intelligent ECG signal Processing”, PhD thesis, University of Salford, 2014.

[51] Mayank, “ECG signal decomposition using PCA and ICA”, *National Conference on Recent Advances in Electronics & Computer Engineering (RAECE)*, 2015.

[52] Dhani Dharmaprani, “A comparison of independent component analysis algorithms and measures to discriminate between EEG and artifact components”, *IEEE 38th Annual International Conference of the Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC)*, 2016.

[53] Devy, “Application of Kernel Principal Component Analysis for Single-lead-ECG-Derived Respiration”, *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, Volume: 59, Issue: 4, April 2012.

[54] M. Kallas, C. Francis, L. Kanaan, D. Merheb, P. Honeine, H. Amoud, “Multi-class SVM classification combined with kernel PCA feature extraction of ECG signals”, *International Conference on Telecommunications (ICT)*, 2012, pp: 1–5.

[55] Fatemeh Shahbaz, “Generalized discriminant analysis for congestive heart failure risk assessment based on long-term heart rate variability”, Published by Elsevier Inc. 2015.

[56] Yogendra, “Human recognition using Fisher's discriminant analysis of heartbeat interval features and ECG morphology”, *Elsveir science publishers*, Volume 167 Issue C, November 2015.

[57] C. Lin, Y. Du, T. Chen, “Adaptive wavelet network for multiple cardiac arrhythmias recognition”, *Expert Syst. Appl.*, Vol 34, issue 4, 2008, pp: 2601–2611.

[58] Y. Kutlu, D. Kuntalp, “Feature extraction for ECG heartbeats using higher order statistics of WPD coefficients”, *Comput. Method Program Biomed.*, Vol 105, issue 3, 2012, pp: 257–267.

[59] Sani Samu, “Wavelet feature extraction for ECG beat classification”, *IEEE 6th International Conference on Adaptive Science & Technology (ICAST)*, 2014.

[60] Amutha Devi C, “Effective ECG beat classification using colliding bodies, An International Journal of Medical Sciences”, 2017.

[61] P.S. Addison, “Wavelet transforms and the ECG: a review”, *Physiol. Meas.*, Vol 26, issue 5, 2005, pp: 155–199.

[62] Towfeeq Fairouz and Hedi Khammari, “SVM classification of CWT signal features for predicting sudden cardiac death”, *Biomedical Physics & Engineering Express*, Volume 2, Number 2, 2016.

[63] M. Llamedo, J.P. Martí nez, “Heartbeat classification using feature selection driven by database generalization criteria”, *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, Vol 58, 2011, pp: 616–625.

[64] R.O. Duda, P.E. Hart, D.G. Stork, *Pattern Classification*, 2nd ed., Wiley-Inter science, 2000.

[65] C.M. Bishop, *Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning*, 1st ed., Springer, 2006.

[66] S. Theodoridis, K. Koutroumbas, *Pattern Recognition*, 4th ed., Elsevier, 2009.

[67] E.D. Übeyli, “Combining recurrent neural networks with eigenvector methods for classification of ECG beats”, *Digit. Signal Process*, 2009, pp: 320–329.

[68] M.A. Escalona-Moran, M.C. Soriano, I. Fischer, C.R. Mirasso, “Electrocardiogram classification using reservoir computing with

logistic regression”, IEEE J. Biomed. Health Inform., Vol 19, issue 3, 2015, pp: 892–898

[69] S.-N. Yu, Y.-H. Chen, “Electrocardiogram beat classification based on wavelet transformation and probabilistic neural network”, Pattern Recogn. Lett., Vol 28, issue 10, 2007, pp: 1142–1150.

[70] Sahar H. El-Khafif and Mohamed A. El-Brawany, “Artificial Neural Network-Based Automated ECG Signal Classifier”, ISRN Biomedical Engineering Volume 2013 (2013).

[71] Andrew, “Classification of the ECG Signal Using Artificial Neural Network”, Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Intelligent Technologies and Engineering Systems, 2014, pp: 545-555.

[72] Gaurav Kumar Jaiswal and Ranbir Paul, “Artificial neural network for ECG classification”, Recent Research in Science and Technology 2014, pp: 36-38.

[73] MalayMitra R.K.Samanta, “Cardiac Arrhythmia Classification Using Neural Networks with Selected Features”, Proceedia Technology, Volume 10, 2013, pp: 76-84.

[74] S. Osowski, T. Markiewicz, L.T. Hoai, “Recognition and classification system of arrhythmia using ensemble of neural

networks”, Measurement, Vol 41, issue 6, 2008, pp: 610–617.

[75] Mayank Kumar Gautam, “A Neural Network approach and Wavelet analysis for ECG classification”, IEEE International Conference on Engineering and Technology (ICETECH), 2016.

[76] Kritika Parganiha, Prasanna Kumar Singh, “ECG Interpretation Using Backward Propagation Neural Networks”, IJECET, Volume 5, Issue 4, April 2014, pp. 19-24.

[77] Alonso-Atienza F, Morgado E, Fernández-Martínez L, García-Alberola A, Rojo-Álvarez JL, “Detection of life-threatening arrhythmias using feature selection and support vector machines”, IEEE Trans Biomed Eng., Vol 61, 2014, pp: 832-840.

[78] Nitin AjiBhaskar, “Performance Analysis of Support Vector Machine and Neural Networks in Detection of Myocardial Infarction”, Procedia computer science, Volume 46, 2015, Pages 20-30.

[79] Mert, A., Kilic, N. & Akan, A, “Evaluation of bagging ensemble method with time-domain feature extraction for diagnosing of arrhythmia beats”, Neural Computing and Applications, Vol 24, 2014, pp: 317–326.